PhD thesis of École des Ponts ParisTech

Presented and publicly defended on January 21, 2010 by

Hossein MALAKOOTI

for obtaining the PhD degree of École des Ponts ParisTech / Universite Paris Est

Specialty: Science and technology environment

Meteorology and air-quality in a mega-city: application to Tehran, Iran

The defense committee was consisted of

Matthias BEEKMANN  LISA laboratory, CNRS & University Paris XII and VII  President
Alain CLAPPIER  LIVE laboratory, University of Strasbourg  Reviewer
Abbas-Ali ALI-AKBARI BIDOKHTI  Institute of Geophysics, University of Tehran  Reviewer
Bruno SPORTISSE  INRIA  Thesis Supervisor
Sylvain DUPONT  EPHYSE research unit, INRA  Referee
Maya MILLIEZ  EDF Research & Development  Referee
Abstract
The influence of a mega-city on the atmospheric boundary layer and surface conditions was examined in the complex-terrain, semi-arid Tehran region using the Pennsylvania State University/National Center for Atmospheric Research fifth-generation Mesoscale Model (MM5) during a high pollution period. In addition, model sensitivity studies were conducted to evaluate the performance of the urban canopy and urban soil model "SM2-U (3D)" parameterization on the meteorological fields and ground level air pollutant concentrations in this area. The topographic flows and urban effects were found to play important roles in modulating the wind and temperature fields, and the urbanized areas exerted important local effects on the boundary layer meteorology.

An emission inventory of air pollutants and an inventory of heat generation were developed and updated for 2005 in this work. Emissions from on-road motor vehicles constitute a major portion of the emission inventory and play the most important role in terms of contributions of air pollutants to the atmosphere in Tehran. By using a detailed methodology, we calculated spatial and temporal distributions of the anthropogenic heat flux (Q_f) for Tehran during 2005. Wintertime Q_f is larger than summertime Q_f, which reflects the importance of heating emissions from buildings and traffic during cold and warm period respectively.

Different urban parameterizations were used as a tool to investigate the modifications induced by the presence of an urban area in the area of interest. It was found that, for local meteorological simulations, the drag-force approach coupled with an urban soil model (DA-SM2-U) is preferable to the roughness approach (RA-SLAB). The comparisons indicated that the most important features of the wind, temperature and turbulent fields in urban areas are well reproduced by the DA-SM2-U configuration with the anthropogenic heat flux being taken into account (i.e., "DA-SM2-U Q_f: On" option). This modeling option showed that the suburban part of the city is dominated by topographic flows whereas the center and south of Tehran are more affected by urban heat island (UHI) forcing especially during the night.

The chemical transport modeling, including a model sensitivity study, was used to investigate the impact of the different urban parameterization on the dispersion and formation of pollutants over the Tehran region. Results show that applying DA approaches leads to significant improvements in the simulated spatial and temporal distribution of air pollutant concentrations in the city area and affects significantly the size of the urban plumes.
Acknowledgements

Let me begin by expressing my thanks to Dr. Christian Seigneur for the high standard of his guidance and for correction of the manuscript. I wish to acknowledge the Islamic Republic of Iran Meteorological Organization (IRIMO), Tehran air pollution control company (AQCC), Institute of Geophysics (University of Tehran), Iran Department of environment (DOE), Tehran Gas Company (TGC), Tehran Province Regional Electricity Company (TREC)’s Consumption Management Administration for providing essential data. Funding was provided by CEREA, Joint Laboratory École des Ponts ParisTech (Université Paris-Est) /EDF R&D and Hormozgan University (Bandar Abbas, Iran).
# Contents

Abstract

Acknowledgements

Table of contents

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Scale and the urban surface

1.2 The urban boundary layer

1.3 Urban canopy parameterization in MMMs

1.4 Urban air quality

1.5 Overview of Tehran characteristics

1.6 Thesis outline

References

Chapter 2: Development and Evaluation of a High Resolution Emission Inventory for Air Pollutants and Heat Generation

2.1 Introduction

2.2 Emission inventory of air pollutants in Tehran

2.2.1 Mobile Source Emissions Inventory survey

2.2.1.1 On-Road Motor Vehicles

2.2.1.1.1 Emission standards

2.2.1.1.2 Vehicles and traffic data base

2.2.1.1.2.1 Categories and subcategories of vehicles

2.2.1.1.2.2 Traffic data

2.2.1.1.3 Emission factors

2.2.1.1.4 On-Road emissions

2.2.1.2 Railway emissions inventory

2.2.1.3 Aircraft emission inventory

2.2.2 Stationary emissions inventory survey

2.2.3 Results and discussion
2.3 Emission inventory of anthropogenic heating in Tehran
2.3.1 Overview
2.3.2 Calculation methodology
2.3.2.1. Heating from vehicular traffic
2.3.2.2. Heating from electricity consumption
2.3.2.3. Heating from fuels consumption
2.3.2.4. Heating from human metabolism
2.3.3 Results and discussion
References

Chapter 3: Local Meteorology and Urbanization Effects
3.1 Introduction
3.1.1 Topography of the region
3.1.2 Main features of the climate and meteorology in the region
3.2 Model and methods
3.2.1 MM5 GSPBL scheme modifications
3.2.1.1 Momentum equation
3.2.1.2 Thermal equation
3.2.1.3 Humidity equation
3.2.1.4 Turbulent kinetic energy equation
3.2.1.5 Turbulent length scale (TLS)
3.2.2 Description of the SM2-U(3D) Model
3.2.2.1 Mean heat flux inside the canopy
3.2.2.2 Net radiation flux
3.2.2.3 Latent heat flux from paved surfaces
3.2.2.4 Sensible and net radiative fluxes
3.3 Model configuration
3.4 Case study simulations and results
3.4.1 Synoptic condition during episode
3.4.2 Numerical experiments and observation data
3.4.2.1 Analyses of the Vertical Profiles inside the PBL
3.4.2.2 Surface Meteorological Fields
3.4.2.3 Meteorological Fields within and above the Canopies
3.4.2.3.1 Within the canopy
3.4.2.3.2 Above the canopy
3.4.2.4 Analyses of the PBL height
3.4.2.5 Analyses of local circulations
3.5 Conclusions
References
Chapter 4: Sensitivity and improvements of Tehran air quality calculations using different meteorological inputs

4.1 Introduction 114
4.2 Model and methods 116
4.3 Case study simulations and results 122
4.4 Conclusions 137
References 138

Chapter 5: Conclusions and Perspectives 142
The atmospheric boundary layer (ABL), also known as the planetary boundary layer (PBL) is the lowest portion of the troposphere. Stull (1988) defines PBL as “that part of the atmosphere that is directly influenced by the presence of the Earth’s surface, and responds to surface forcings with a time scale of about an hour or less”.

The majority of the global population currently lives and works in urban areas, and this urbanization is expected to increase. According to the United Nations, population projections (UN, 2006) suggest that the global proportion of urban population will increase from 49% in 2005 to 60% in 2030.

The urban surface morphology (presence of buildings), urban materials, vegetation differences and human activities profoundly modify the PBL structure over urban areas. This has important implications for the transport and dispersion of pollutants (most of anthropogenic effluents that are emitted from sources within the PBL), photochemical processes, urban design, energy usage studies, thermal comfort level evaluations, etc.

It is reported that in most of mega-cities, air pollution is worsening because of increased industry, vehicles and population. Managing waste, treating sewage, reducing noise, reducing pollutant emissions to control air quality have become challenges for planners and decision makers at all levels. The processes leading to urban air pollution are diverse, interrelated, complex and non-linear. Extended knowledge on the type, quantity, residence time and sources of the pollutants emitted in the urban atmosphere is necessary,
in order to reduce the human health effects in an effective manner. Special urban network of air quality and meteorology measurements and extensive emissions studies are conducted in order to give an overview of the cities situations. Associating these data to modeling tools makes it possible to provide decision-support and forecast tools to planners and governments to design pollutant emissions abatement strategies. Understanding and forecasting air quality in urban areas has emerged as an active field of research with clear socio-economics demands.

Tehran, the Capital of Iran, is faced with many socio-economic and environmental problems. The environmental problem that affects people more than any other in Tehran is air pollution.

Tehran’s air quality has been deteriorated over time due to the cumulative effects of rapid population growth, a large and old vehicle fleet (mobile sources), a large number of industrial and commercial establishments (stationary sources), its geographical location, including an ensnared condition as it is surrounded by ranges of mountains, and also the lack of perennial winds. Urban transport is the leading cause of this problem. More than one decade ago, the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran identified Tehran’s air pollution problem as a high priority environmental and health issue (Global Environment Facility, 1993).

1.1 Scale and the urban surface

Meteorological phenomena can be classified as functions of their temporal and spatial scales. Urban meteorology covers phenomena with four typical ranges of spatial scales. For example, Britter (2003) used the following spatial scales to describe the major urban flow features: regional scale (up to 100 or 200 km), city scale (up to 10 or 20 km), neighborhood scale (up to 1 or 2 km), and street scale (less than 100 to 200 m). The complex urban morphology lead to various physical-chemical processes which have effects at different scales (meso-micro) and hence, a mega-cities can play quite a significant role on regional weather.

Consequently, numerical air quality models, which constitute a robust approach to manage and forecast air pollution, require an integrated approach to simulate both the
local urban scale and the mesoscale city surroundings. Here are two modeling approaches at respectively local and mesoscale:

I) The street canyon models provide spatially detailed results, but they are restricted to small areas (one to a few streets) and generally decoupled from the larger scale circulation (which limits their accuracy to short time intervals and near source distance). They are adapted to study the air quality in the streets but cannot be used to simulate the development of the urban plume.

II) The mesoscale models cover a relatively large area (domains of the order of 100-200 km), but their spatial resolution (typically 1 to 10 km horizontally and a few tens of meters in the vertical) does not allow one to reproduce the detailed structure of the urban areas. Consequently, sub-grid surface fluxes and turbulence parameterizations are necessary in order to take into account the significant perturbations induced by the cities.

Since an integrated approach, both at the local and regional level, is necessary, a full coupling of the two approaches (insertion within each mesoscale grid cell of a street canyon model) seems to be an ideal approach. But it is computationally expensive process. For this reason, the urban parameterization method, which consists of taking into account the effects of buildings and streets in a mesoscale model through turbulence parameterizations, represents a better compromise.

In order to develop urban canopy parameterizations, we need descriptions and classifications of urban landuse geometry. The methods that are typically used to introduce urban modifications inside meso-scale meteorological models (MMMs) are mostly based on averaging out the variations in parameters around individual buildings. The general parameters used to classify the urban surface morphology include building height $h$, the plane area density $\lambda_p$, and the frontal area density $\lambda_f$ (e.g., Grimmond and Oke, 1999b) averaged over the scale of interest. Similar information on vegetation canopies is also used. These morphological parameters are illustrated in Figure 1.1.a for an array of uniform buildings. They are defined as follows: $\lambda_p = A_p / A_r = L_x L_y / D_x D_y$ and $\lambda_f = A_f / A_r = z h L_y / D_x D_y$. One other method consists of using two parallel buildings, that are uniform in height and size with flat roofs as shown in Figure 1.1.b
(under the flow normal to the canyon axis). In this method, key morphological parameters are defined as follows: 
\[ \lambda_f = \frac{h_e}{r_e} \] and 
\[ \lambda_p = 1 - \frac{\omega_e}{r_e}. \]

In order to better represent the urban canopy and its effects in MMMs, one method that is often considered consists of having several layers of the model within canopies. In this method \( \lambda_p \) and \( \lambda_f \) are estimated for each kind of canopies and surfaces, and are distributed according to the fraction in each model layer within the urban canopies (adapted from Brown and Williams 1998, Martilli 2002 and Dupont et al. 2004). This method is illustrated for urban buildings in Figure 1.2.

**Figure 1.1:** Schematic representation of the non-dimensional morphometric ratios for an array of uniform buildings (after Grimmond and Oke, 1999b).

**Figure 1.2:** Schematic illustration of side view of an urban canopy representation. The area of interest is partitioned into areas defined as urban and nonurban. The canyon regions are defined in the areas between buildings, and the sum of the canyon areas is \( f_{\text{canyon}} \). The remainder of \( f_{\text{urb}} \) is defined as \( f_{\text{roof}} \). There are several model layers (shown as dashed lines) within the urban canopy layer.
1.2 The urban boundary layer

The bottom of the boundary layer is modified by the urban surface features and the depth of this modified layer increases with distance downwind from these structures or vegetation. This modified layer is called the internal boundary layer (IBL). Above it, the air flow continues behaving as it did upwind of the structures (Stull, 1998). The internal boundary layer is influenced by, but not fully adjusted to, the structures of the new surface and it deepens with fetch.

The internal boundary layer formed over urban areas is called the urban boundary layer (UBL). When a new rural boundary layer forms at the surface downwind of the urban area, the urban boundary layer is isolated aloft and is then called the urban plume. The flow in the urban canopy layer (beneath the mean height of the buildings and trees) is highly heterogeneous spatially and subjected to a drag force (Belcher et al., 2003). The Urban Canopy Layer (UCL) is a zone of multiple effects on the PBL structure. We classify these effects into four categories: dynamic, thermodynamic, anthropogenic heating and air pollution effects. Table 1.1 summarizes the general micrometeorological effects of urban canopies. These effects alter temperature and wind fields over the urban environment and contribute to the formation of the Urban Heat Island (UHI). The UHI is typically presented as a temperature difference between the air within the UCL and that measured in a rural area outside the settlement (Mills, 2004).

UBL and urban plume are presented in Figure 1.3. The UBL is, however, a collection of successive IBLs rather than one single internal boundary layer, because of continual evolution of dynamic - thermodynamic processes across the urban area.

The UBL has a vertical structure more complex than that of the boundary layer in rural areas and it is normally partitioned into a canopy layer, a roughness sub-layer (RSL), an inertial sub-layer (ISL) and a mixed layer, depending on the characteristics of the mean and turbulent parts of the flow (e.g. Garratt, 1992).
Figure 1.3: a) Schematic structure and potential temperature profiles ($\theta$) by day (top) and at night (down) of the UBL in a large city during fine weather (after Oke, 1982) and b) Schematic of the boundary layer over an urban surface with typical depths for the sub-layers (e.g. Roth, 2000). $z_i$ is the depth of the planetary boundary layer over an urban area.

Table 1.1 The general micrometeorological effects of urban canopies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UCL features</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Urban canopy geometry** | Different spatial distribution of turbulence and wind  
Increased net-shortwave radiation (increased surface area and multiple reflection)  
Decreased net-longwave radiations (reduced sky view factor)  
Decreased and latent heat fluxes (reduced wind speed) |
| **Construction materials** | Increased heat storage (increased thermal admittance)  
Decreased latent heat flux (increased water-proofing)  
Increased net-shortwave radiation (reduced surface albedo) |
| **Anthropogenic heating** | Effect of heat production from fuel and electricity consumption in building and transportation sectors as well as metabolism |
| **Air pollution** | Increased sky longwave radiation (Greater absorption and re-emission) |

There are several key differences in the nature of the turbulence over rural and urban sites, such as significant dispersive stresses within urban canopies (Cheng and Castro, 2002), Reynolds stress peak at or just above roof level (e.g., Rotach, 1993a), leading to an alteration of turbulent spectrum over urban areas. The peak in the energy spectrum is flattened with no single frequency dominating the power spectrum (Louka, 1998; Roth, 2000). In the RSL, in fact, the flow is influenced more by the local geometry than by a
homogeneous energy transfer between horizontal layers. The flow regimes in urban street canyons can be categorized into the isolated roughness flow, the wake interference flow, and the skimming flow, depending on the canyon aspect ratio ($h_e/w_e$) and uniformity of the building height. These flow regimes are determined by the degree of interaction between the vortex generated behind the upwind building and the downwind building (Hussain and Lee 1980; Oke 1988; Hunter et al. 1992; Sini et al. 1996). Three flow regimes may form depending on the ratio ($h_e/w_e$). The inherent features of the three flow regimes are schematically drawn in Figure 1.4.

The RSL extends from the surface up to a height at which the influence of individual roughness elements on the flow is 'mixed up' by turbulence (Raupach et al., 1991), and the flow can be considered horizontally homogeneous if the density, height and distribution of roughness elements do not vary over the upwind area of influence. The depth of RSL is estimated to be 1.8–5 building heights and it has been shown to depend on the stability, separation of the buildings and building shape (Raupach et al., 1980; Oke, 1987; Rafailidis, 1997; Roth, 1999; Roth, 2000; Cheng and Castro, 2002).

**Figure 1.4:** Flow regimes in UCs: (a) isolated roughness flow ($h_e/w_e \leq 0.3$), (b) wake interference flow ($h_e/w_e$ intermediate), and (c) skimming flow ($h_e/w_e \geq 0.7$) from Oke (1987).
The classical techniques used to represent surface effects in mesoscale models are based on the Monin-Obukhov Similarity Theory (MOST) and aerodynamic characteristics of the urban surface are often represented using various approaches:

I) The roughness approaches (RA) uses an area-specific roughness length and a displacement height (Roughness approach: RA) (e.g. Venkatram, 1980; Bottema, 1997), which assumes stationary conditions and spatial homogeneity.

II) The empirical models are based on observations of the urban surface energy balance (Grimmond et al., 1998; Grimond and Oke, 1999a). These models use extremely simple schemes, but they need many measurements data and are limited to the range of those data conditions (city, land cover, climate, season, etc).

III) The schemes adapted from plant canopies are the most common way to simulate the urban surface energy balance. This approach is based on the adaptation of the thermal and mechanical properties of a rural area in a soil vegetation transfer scheme (Todhunter and Werner, 1988; Dupont et al., 2002).

IV) For radiative effects and the energy balance, surface albedo is generally decreased, the ground is "dried", the soil heat capacity is modified and anthropogenic fluxes are sometimes prescribed as additional energy source (Makar et al., 2006).

These approaches are not able to take into account the geometry of the buildings and do not reproduce the radiative transfers between the different urban surfaces.

Furthermore, the MOST profiles are not valid below the displacement height (the lowest model level at which RA applies) and RA does not reproduce the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) maximum observed just above the urban canopy. Also field measurements (e.g., Rotach, 1993b) have shown that RA is not able to reproduce the vertical structure of the turbulent fields in urban RSL.

Recently, in order to better represent realistic 3D urban canopy effects (urban canopy parameterization: UCP), the drag force approach has been applied to account for the drag induced by the buildings, as well as comprehensive canopy surfaces (wall, roof, road) energy balance inside MMMs. This UCP can be either single layer (Masson, 2000) or a multi-layer buildings parameterization (Vu et al., 1999; Martilli et al., 2002; Kondo et al., 2005). The single-layer parameterization concerns only the first level of MMMs, whereas
the multi-layer parameterization can impact all levels directly influenced by the buildings. In this study we use a multi-layer UCP developed by Martilli et al. (2002) and Dupont et al. (2004).

The flow and potential temperature in the inertial sub-layer are horizontally homogeneous and MOST may be applicable in this sub-layer. The mixed layer is normally covered by an inversion layer at the top of UBL and during the day, flow and potential temperature are rapidly mixed resulting in horizontally homogeneous, vertically uniform profiles in the mixed layer, during the night this sub-layer may be further partitioned into a residual mixed layer of the previous day overlying a stable surface layer which has been cooled from below (Roth, 2000).

1.3 Urban canopy parameterization in MMMs

Brown (2000) has reviewed some methods in order to improve the representation of urban RSL characteristics in mesoscale models. The improvement of the urban canopy representation in mesoscale models requires knowledge of various parameters that can be divided into three categories:

I) The empirical parameters, which are deduced from calibration of the models,

II) The “material parameters”, which correspond to the physical properties of the surface materials of the canopy elements,

III) The morphological parameters, which depend on the structure and on the 3D arrangement of the canopy elements (buildings, vegetation, etc).

The morphological parameters are variable from one city to another and need to be averaged over a few 100 m² with a vertical resolution of a few meters to be used at neighborhood scales. Thus, these parameters may be the most difficult parameters to estimate.

The recent UCPs based on the drag-force approach take into account the dynamic, thermodynamic and turbulent effects of urban canopies by adding terms in the conservation equations:
I) in the dynamic equation, a friction force induced by horizontal surfaces of buildings, and a pressure and viscous drag force induced by the presence of buildings and vegetation;

II) in the temperature equation, the sensible and latent heat fluxes from buildings and vegetation and the anthropogenic heat flux parameterized following Taha (1999);

III) in the specific humidity equation, the humidity sources coming from the evapotranspiration of the vegetation and the evaporation of the water intercepted by buildings;

IV) in the turbulent kinetic energy equation, a shear and buoyant production terms induced by buildings and vegetation, turbulent kinetic energy sources induced by the presence of buildings and vegetation.

Furthermore, soil and water budget models are developed for urban area because of multi cover fractions such as bare, paved, vegetated and mixed (i.e., combination of those) surfaces, lakes, irrigation areas, etc. Those models are important to improve the evaporation, since the urban latent heat flux may have a large influence on micro scale phenomena.

In these models, the lower level of the computational domain corresponds to the real level of the ground. The volume of buildings is considered in each cell and additional vertical layers are included within the canopy to allow more detailed meteorological fields within the RSL.
1.4 Urban air quality

High levels of pollution adversely affect most of the populated regions. Air pollution is one of several important environmental hazards alongside with water contamination, hazardous waste, noise and others. It is currently an important environmental concern of large cities.

Swelling urban populations and increased concentration of industry and automobile traffic in and around cities have resulted in severe air pollution. Emissions from traffic, factories, domestic heating, cooking and refuse burning are threatening the health of city dwellers, imposing not just a direct economic cost by impacting human health but also threatening long-term productivity.

Basic urban pollutants (Fenger, 1999) include sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$), nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$), carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOC), ozone (O$_3$), particulate matter (PM) and lead (Pb).

Exposure to air pollution is associated with numerous effects on human health, including pulmonary, cardiac, vascular and neurological impairments. High-risk groups such as the elderly, infants, pregnant women and sufferers from chronic heart and lung diseases are more sensitive to air pollution than the general population. Exposure to air pollution can cause both acute (short-term) and chronic (long-term) health effects. Acute effects are usually immediate and often reversible when exposure to the pollutant ends. Some acute health effects include eye irritation, headaches and nausea. Chronic effects are usually not immediate and can be irreversible. Some chronic health effects include decreased lung capacity and lung cancer. Table 1.2 summarizes the sources, health and welfare effects for selected pollutants. Hazardous air pollutants may cause other less common but potentially hazardous health effects, including cancer, damage to the immune system, and neurological, reproductive and developmental problems. Acute exposure to some hazardous air pollutants can cause immediate death. Human health effects associated with indoor air pollution are: headaches, tiredness, dizziness, nausea, and throat irritation. More serious effects include cancer and exacerbation of chronic respiratory diseases, such as asthma. Asthma, particularly for children, can result from poor indoor air quality. Air
pollutants can also enter into our body by drinking and eating following deposition on to an ecosystem and subsequent bioaccumulation. There are many harmful welfare effects of air pollution: acid rain, climate change, depletion of stratospheric ozone, damage to agricultural crops, and decreased visibility.

Air pollutants are classified into two categories. Primary pollutants are directly emitted into the atmosphere, such as NO\textsubscript{x} and VOCs and secondary pollutants are formed in the atmosphere as a result of chemical transformation of the primary pollutants. Secondary pollutants include tropospheric ozone (O\textsubscript{3}) created in a reaction cycle involving NO\textsubscript{x}, CO and VOC and which occurs in the presence of solar energy.

Primary pollutants act in situ, i.e., mainly in the cities where traffic and industrial activities are the highest, while secondary pollutants often affect the environment (as in the case of ozone) in rural areas. For example, ozone formation occurs relatively close to the ground in the plume downwind of primary pollutant emission, usually at distances of 10 to 100 km from the sources, when VOC and NO\textsubscript{x} are both present in relevant quantities (see Figure 1.5).

This difference leads to a difficult situation for policy makers dealing with air quality management. The problems become even more complex for pollutants such as ozone and PM that are subject to transcontinental pollutant transport, which occur in the stratosphere.
Table 1.2: Sources, health and welfare effects for selected Pollutants (Ref: US EPA website).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Health Effects</th>
<th>Welfare Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorless, odorless gas</td>
<td>Motor vehicle exhaust, indoor sources include kerosene or wood burning stoves.</td>
<td>Headaches, reduced mental alertness, heart attack, cardiovascular diseases, impaired fetal development, death.</td>
<td>Contribute to the formation of smog.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>Colorless gas that dissolves in water vapor to form acid, and interact with other gases and particles in the air.</td>
<td>Coal-fired power plants, petroleum refineries, manufacture of sulfuric acid and smelting of ores containing sulfur.</td>
<td>Eye irritation, wheezing, chest tightness, shortness of breath, lung damage.</td>
<td>Contribute to the formation of acid rain, visibility impairment, plant and water damage, aesthetic damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>Reddish brown, highly reactive gas.</td>
<td>Motor vehicles, electric utilities, and other industrial, commercial, and residential sources that burn fuels.</td>
<td>Susceptibility to respiratory infections, irritation of the lung and respiratory symptoms (e.g., cough, chest pain, difficulty breathing).</td>
<td>Contribute to the formation of smog, acid rain, water quality deterioration, global warming, and visibility impairment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>Gaseous pollutant when it is formed in the troposphere.</td>
<td>Vehicle exhaust and certain other fumes. Formed from other air pollutants in the presence of sunlight.</td>
<td>Eye and throat irritation, coughing, respiratory tract problems, asthma, lung damage.</td>
<td>Plant and ecosystem damage, climate change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Gaseous pollutants</td>
<td>Vehicle exhaust, home products, industrial plants, vegetations.</td>
<td>Eye irritation (e.g., acrolein), cancer (e.g., benzene, formaldehyde)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Very small particles of soot, dust, or other matter, including tiny droplets of liquids.</td>
<td>Diesel engines, power plants, industries, windblown dust, wood stoves.</td>
<td>Eye irritation, asthma, bronchitis, lung damage, cancer, heavy metal poisoning, cardiovascular effects.</td>
<td>Visibility impairment, atmospheric deposition, aesthetic damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Metallic element</td>
<td>Metal refineries, lead smelters, battery manufacturers, iron and steel producers.</td>
<td>Anemia, high blood pressure, brain and kidney damage, neurological disorders, cancer, lowered IQ.</td>
<td>Affects animals and plants, affects aquatic ecosystems, climate change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The pollutants concentrations in the ambient outdoor air depend on emissions, background concentrations, chemical, transport–diffusion and deposition processes (Mayer, 1999). All these processes explicitly depend on meteorological parameters such as radiation, stability, wind, temperature, turbulence, rain, humidity, etc.

A combination of state-of-the-science measurements with state-of-the-science models is the best approach for making real progress toward understanding atmospheric processes. A model involving descriptions of emission patterns, meteorology, chemical transformations and removal processes is an essential tool for the appropriate approach. Such a model provides a link between emission changes from source control measurements and resulting changes in airborne concentrations (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998; B. Sportisse, 2008).

Meteorological inputs constitute one of the main sources of uncertainty in chemistry transport models (CTMs), especially in urban areas, because of high influence of urbanization on local climates. Therefore, a correct treatment of meteorology is essential for accurate air quality modeling.
1.5 Overview of Tehran characteristics

With the location 35° 41' N - 51° 25' E and altitude of 1000-1800 meters above mean sea level, Tehran, capital of Iran, is located on the southern slope of the Alburz mountain chain. Its climate is generally moderate to dry. During the last 3 to 4 decades, urban expansion in Tehran resulted from a high rate of population growth and rural-urban migration combined with a strong tradition of centralization and dense urban infrastructure. As a result, there has been an over increasing trend in energy consumption in the capital. Tehran is located in valleys and is surrounded on the north, northwest, east and southeast by high to medium high (3800-1000 m) mountain ranges (see Figure 1.6). The northern part with more rainfall and vegetation is about 600-700 meter higher than the southernmost part which borders central deserts of Iran (Madanipour, 1999; Ketabi, 2004). The meteorological fields in Tehran are influenced by these geographical features. Because of these morphological conditions, generally weak winds and urban effects such as anthropogenic heating, Tehran experiences a significant UHI. Therefore, we believe that taking into account anthropogenic heating and other urban effects within a MM model should improve the accuracy of meteorological simulations and better represent the local climate and circulations in this area.

The resident population of Tehran is about 8.3 millions according to the 2007 census (in contrast to two hundred thousands in 1920) and its daytime population is often more than 12 millions inhabitants on workdays, reflecting the highly dynamic spatial and temporal distribution of population in the greater Tehran urban area (670 km²). With a very large population, more than two million (often old) motor vehicles, fuel and electricity consumption and many factories (more than half of Iran’s industries are based in Tehran), huge quantities of pollutants and heat are emitted in this area.

Tehran is usually enveloped in a cloud of smog and, according to a recent study, each resident inhales between 7 and 9 kilograms of dust per year. The city has been rated as one of the most polluted cities on earth, suffering from increasing acute environmental problems such as air, water, land and noise pollution. Due to these facts air pollution is reported to significantly affect the quality of urban inhabitant’s life as well as to worsen urban environment and urban climate.
The Pollutant Standards Index (PSI) system which was developed by the U.S.-EPA (e.g. National Air Quality and Emissions Trends Report, 1997) has been adopted by Tehran AQCC (Air Quality Control Company) for reporting daily air quality. The PSI provides a simple number on a scale of 0-500 related to the health effects of the air quality levels. Values of PSI during recent 18 years (after establishing a measurement network) show that air quality most often fell in the “moderate” category (50<PSI< 100) and had exceeded the threshold level (PSI = 100) in 75 to 169 days (20 - 46%) during one year. It is seen that carbon monoxide contributed 89% (8 hours average concentration more than 4.5 ppm) and PM 10 19% (24 hours average concentration more than 75 µgm⁻³) of critical pollutants responsible for most of the unhealthy air quality days in Tehran. As shown in Figure 1.7, three months of August, September and October are the most polluted months in Tehran.

![Figure 1.7: PSI distribution during 2002-2006.](image)

1.6 Thesis outline

This study has three main parts: emission improvement, local meteorology and chemistry transport modeling and their evaluations.

In the first part a high resolution mobile emission inventory is developed in order to prepare a Dynamic Emission Database (DEB) for this episode of the study. Traffic data are obtained from the EMME/2 transportation planning database and available observations by vehicle subcategories. The emission factors are adjusted with categories
and subcategories of Tehran vehicles, and along with this, the railway and aircraft emissions are also estimated. The evaluation of spatial distribution and diurnal variation of anthropogenic heat emission in Tehran region is also developed. The methods and estimated emissions are presented in Chapter 2.

In the second part, we study the boundary layer structure and its evolution, UHI influence and interaction between topographic and UHI flows in Tehran region. The urban canopy model introduced in MM5 by Dupont et al. (2004) is adapted, modified and tested for the Tehran basin. Thus, the roughness approach and Drag force approach are evaluated in this area. The methods and results are presented in Chapter 3.

The objective of the third part is a general study of modeling gases (CO, Ozone, NO₂, etc.) and particular matters (PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅). Simulations are evaluated and the sensitivity to meteorological inputs with different urban options is analyzed. This part is presented in chapter 4.

The results of this work and suggestions for future works in this area are summarized and discussed in Chapter 5.
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2.1 Introduction

Urban agglomerations are major sources of regional and global atmospheric pollution as well as heat. This phenomenon is especially severe in cities of developing countries, where population, traffic, industrialization and energy use increase as people continue to migrate to the cities (Mage et al., 1996). Consequently, it is essential to develop energy and air quality management policies and to establish strategies for atmospheric pollution prevention and energy management for such cities. Main limitations are, however, the difficulties associated with promulgating effective environmental public policies and then implementing air pollution mitigation measures in a timely manner (Mayer, 1999). Those difficulties are compounded by a strong lack of pertinent technical information and knowledge.

In this chapter, we present methodologies used to develop an emission inventory of air pollutants and inventory of heat generation in Tehran.

2.2 Emission inventory of air pollutants in Tehran

Air pollutants are emitted into the atmosphere from stationary, area and mobile sources. Stationary sources include utility, industrial, institutional and commercial facilities. Examples are electric power plants, oil – gas refineries, phosphate processing plants, pulp and paper mills, and municipal waste combustors. Area sources include many individually small activities such as gasoline service stations, small paint shops, consumer solvent use, open burning associated with agriculture, etc. Mobile sources,
especially from on-road vehicular traffic, constitute a major source of air pollution in towns and cities.

In the current study, pollutant emissions are estimated for the year 2005 for CO, PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, SO$_x$, and NMVOC which are emitted from point, area and mobile sources in the Greater Tehran Area (GTA).

According to a recent estimate, there are more than 2 million vehicles and some 300 thousand industrial factories and offices in Tehran. Although there are few inventories of pollution sources available in Tehran, those available suggest that concentration of CO, NO, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, O$_3$ and suspended particulate matter (SPM) in the GTA are well beyond the World Health Organization (WHO) standard. Particularly, the CO concentration often exceeded the 80 ppm limit.

While a variety of sources contribute to air pollutants, it is estimated that mobile source emissions account for almost 85% of the air pollution in the GTA and are particularly important, since these emissions occur in the vicinity of the city population. Accordingly, new standards for mobile sources have been enacted to address this problem.

### 2.2.1 Mobile Source Emissions Inventory survey

Mobile sources mainly consist of on-road motor vehicles and other mobile sources include boats and ships, trains, aircraft and off-road equipments (garden, farm and construction).

Key literature analysis of studies (Cooper, 1989; Beaton et al., 1992; Bose, 1996; Cernuschi et al., 1995; Derwent et al., 1995; Joumard et al., 1995; Lawson et al., 1990; Mitsoulis et al., 1994; Onursal and Gautam, 1997; Riveros et al., 1995; Stein and Toselli, 1996; Sturm et al., 1997) relating to traffic pollution in urban centers indicate that studies concerning detailed impact of vehicle emissions on the ambient air quality are few outside north America and Europe. This is due to the complexity of organizing and integrating information on:

- emission of pollutants to the atmosphere from a dynamic EDB (Emission DataBase),
- meteorological conditions,
- processes affecting pollutant concentrations spatially at different location and time.
2.2.1.1 On-Road Motor Vehicles

On-road motor vehicles consist of passenger cars, trucks, buses, motorcycles, etc. Emissions from on-road motor vehicles are a major portion of the emission inventory and are estimated by using available vehicles and traffic data bases and related emission factors. Vehicle emissions are directly related to the variations in the traffic flow pattern, which vary in location and time. The characterization of the temporal variability of emissions is difficult because it requires an accurate dynamic EDB.

2.2.1.1.1 Emission standards

An emission performance standard is an upper limit that should not be exceeded by emissions from a regulated source. To that end, different types of emission control technologies have been implemented on vehicles. Evaluations of vehicular emissions are conducted using a special driving cycle to simulate road driving on a dynamometer chassis, and by measuring their air pollutants emissions. Dynamometer is tuned in a way that braking power is compatible with striking the barriers as it is on actual roads and using the real vehicle weight.

Table 2.1 indicates different standards for various types of vehicles before 2005 and for implementation during 2005 to 2014 (Euro IV will enter into force in the EU in 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDV / HDV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECE R-1503</td>
<td>ECE R-1504</td>
<td>(ECE R-83)</td>
<td>Euro I</td>
<td>Euro II</td>
<td>Euro IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.01 Euro I</td>
<td>Euro II</td>
<td>Euro III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.1.1.2 Vehicles and traffic data base

In recent years, travel and traffic simulation models have been developed and calibrated in most mega-cities. For Tehran a comprehensive study for a transportation plan have been carried out by Tehran Traffic & Transportation Comprehensive Studies Co. (TCTTS).
Transportation planning computer software was used to develop the model, EMME/2 (Equilibre multimodal / multimodal equilibrium Version 2). The study area was divided into 583 transportation analysis zones and the network system was coded into EMME/2 as links (street segments) and nods (intersections). The existing network includes 4295 nods and 12768 links. Figure 2.1 depicts the Tehran municipality districts, the road network treated in this study and the traffic zones.

![Figure 2.1: The Tehran municipality districts, main road network and traffic zones.](image)

### 2.2.1.1.2.1 Categories and subcategories of vehicles

Regarding the vehicle categorizations presented in TERP project (1997), the data released by Tehran Traffic and Transportation Comprehensive Studies and vehicles registration data, the categorization presented in Table 2.2 was used to calculate emission factors.

### 2.2.1.1.2.2 Traffic data

In order to use the data received from TCTTS Co. and we adjusted the EMME2 outputs with actual fuel consumption. These actual consumption values were obtained from Ministry of Oil regarding the fuel consumptions. The reason for the difference between the consumptions rates of EMME2 and actual fuel consumption is due to the fact that the EMME2 network considers only the main roads of Tehran and ignores the secondary streets.
In order to calculate the emissions of all the vehicles, the vehicle kilometers traveled (VKT) for each subcategory must be calculated. Figure 2.2 indicates the VKT for each category.

![Figure 2.2: VKT values across vehicle categories.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.2: Categories &amp; subcategories of Tehran vehicles.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category of Vehicles</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Duty Vehicle (LDV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Duty Truck (LDT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minibus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non UCBT Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBCT Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.1.1.3 Emission factors
In this study, in addition to the emission factors calculated in TERP report and the emission factors of COPERT III, the methodologies of Ntziachristos and Samaras, (2000) and Samaras et al. (1998) were used. Based on this relative fraction of vehicles, aggregation emission factors and the fuel consumption rates were calculated.

2.2.1.1.4 On-Road emissions
The contribution of LDV vehicles was estimated to be close to 47% of total on-road emissions. LDT and motorcycles come next in terms of on-road emissions (see Figure 2.3). Figure 2.4 compares the estimations of on-road emissions in Tehran as presented in GEF project (1996), JICA (2002) and the current study for 2005.

![Figure 2.3: Comparison of each pollutant emission contribution to total emissions across all categories.](image1)

![Figure 2.4: Comparison of results for on-road emissions of main pollutants with GEF project (1996) and JICA (2002).](image2)
2.2.1.2 Railway emissions inventory

Using the fuel consumption data and accounting for both "HAUL" model (running model) and "YARD" model (maneuvering model), the average fuel economy was calculated for each rail road length. Finally, emission rates by trains in the GTA were calculated using the fuel consumption rates and the emission factors.

There are 3 main rail road lines in the GTA:
1. Tehran - Rey
2. Tehran - Aprin
3. Tehran - Lashkari

According to the data released from the JICA group regarding earthquake pathology (2000), the lengths of "HAUL" and "YARD" rail road lines are respectively 133 and 87 km. (These lengths include all the rail road lines).

The emission factors used here were obtained from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) report (1992).

Since emission factors for some of the running locomotives were not available in the EPA report, emission factors from similar locomotives (band, model and power) were used here. Then, the emission factors of trains (both in running and maneuvering model) were calculated based on their locomotives model composition.

The emission rate of SO₂ depends on the sulfur content in the fuel. Since the locomotives motors are equipped with the turbo charge system, the emission factors for SO₂ for heavy duty vehicles were used for locomotives. The HAUL and YARD models from of the different types of trains are provided in Appendix A.

According to the Statistics and Technology Department of Rail Road of IRI, the fuel consumption rate by trains both in running and maneuvering status was exceeding 11930 and 1620 k liters respectively in 2005. Emissions from the trains are presented in Figure 2.5 for 2005.
2.2.1.3 Aircraft emission inventory

The contribution of airplanes which depart from or arrive at the Mehrabad international airport was estimated for 2005. Emissions are assigned to the landing strip and the flight route extended to both sides of the strip up to 1000 m height (landing – take off, (LTO) cycles). Airplanes are assumed to approach from the southwest and to take off and ascend toward the northwest (See Figure 2.6).

Total LTO emissions are obtained from those three lines and area sources extended around the landing strip.

The frequency of flights for each aircraft type is set based on the number of weekly flights for each company. Table 2.3 shows the weekly number of flights for each aircraft type. These aircraft types are recategorized into eleven types with engine specifications.

| Table 2.3: Weekly frequency of flights from Mehrabad airport. |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Number      | 80    |    15 |     10 |     4  |      2 |     10 |     20 |     49 |     2  |     35 |     16 |      3 |      2 |      2 |      3 |
| Type        | TU-134 | TU-154 | F-100 | MD-11 |       |      5  |     51  |    118 |     1  |
An emission factor is assigned to each engine type and each LTO step. The duration of each step is: 4.0 sec (approach), 26.0 sec (idling), 0.8 sec (take off) and 1.6 sec (ascending). Then times are slightly different in the case of the F-100 type. The emission amount by step and air pollutant is summarized in Table 2.4. In actual calculation, emissions during the idling step are equally divided among three area sources. For diurnal profile, the same flight frequency is assumed except at nighttime. The emission release height for idling and take off is set at 10 m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step / element</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>NOx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approach</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idling</td>
<td>182.15</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take off</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>climb</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>13.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Stationary emissions inventory survey
The stationary point and area source emissions were originally estimated from a mail survey source registration. Activity data include the quantity and type of fuel used and also in some case fuel sales records, state registration records, fuel/material usage and default employment and per capita data. The emission factors are based on source classification codes related to the source process type. If control equipment is used at any source, its effectiveness is factored into the equation. Field staff also supplemented data based on plant inspections and manually calculated plant emissions.

Brief descriptions of the categories for projecting pollutants are shown in Table 2.5. By study of activity factors such as sector-wise energy demand, emission factors of fuels, emission rates of pollutants were estimated for each sector in Tehran. Table 2.6 shows sectorwise stationary emission quantities of pollutants in Tehran during 2005.
Table 2.6: Sectorwise emission quantities by stationary sources in Tehran during 2005 (combustion + evaporation) tone/year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>SOx</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>SPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>15923</td>
<td>5741</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>5748</td>
<td>3568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General service and household</td>
<td>17720</td>
<td>30051</td>
<td>7893</td>
<td>38347</td>
<td>8591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy conversion</td>
<td>9289</td>
<td>12014</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>9401</td>
<td>2838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42932</td>
<td>47806</td>
<td>11255</td>
<td>53496</td>
<td>14997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3 Results and discussion

Concerning the source contribution of air pollutants, stationary sources represent 87% of total SO\textsubscript{x} emission while mobile sources represent respectively 70%, 99%, 72% and 56% of NO\textsubscript{x}, CO, NMVOC and PM\textsubscript{10} emissions respectively (See Figure 2.7).

SO\textsubscript{x} emissions from the general service and household represent 36% of the total, followed by the industrial sector (32%), energy conversion (19%), while the transportation sector represents only 13% in contrast to other kinds of pollutant emissions, because this sector uses low sulfur gasoline and diesel oil. The thermal power plants and refinery in Tehran contributions is only 19% because most of the fuels have already been substituted by natural gas expect in winter when supply of natural gas is sometimes short.

NO\textsubscript{x} emission shows different treatment to SO\textsubscript{x} and the contribution of the transportation sector reaches 70% of total emissions. General service and household and energy conversation contribute 19% and 8% respectively, followed by industrial (4%). The general service and household sector contribution is 18%, though this sector has numerous consuming units. CO emissions are negligible in the case of stationary emission.
sources, since the transportation sector has a dominant contribution of 99%. Most NMVOC emissions come from the transportation sector with 72%, general service and household (19%) and energy conversion (5%); the combined share of these three sectors totals 97%. NMVOC emission sources of the commercial sector are represented by petrol stations, printing shops, dry cleaning shops and petroleum depots and not by shops of electric metal plating and painting, since information of these sources is not available despite their possible substantial volume. It is estimated that leakage of natural gas from rubber hose connections is substantial due to a lack of proper maintenance, especially in the commercial-household sector although their volume is not estimated at this stage. PM$_{10}$ emissions from the transportation sector represent 56% of the total, followed by general service and household (25%) and the industrial sector (10%) and energy conversion (8%).

Figure 2.8 illustrates hourly average of CO and PM$_{10}$ emission inventories during 2005, these pollutants are the two critical pollutants in Tehran city.

![Figure 2.8](image.png)

**Figure 2.8**: Hourly average of CO (left) and PM$_{10}$ (right) emission inventories for Tehran city during 2005 (kg.h$^{-1}$.km$^{-2}$).
2.3 Emission inventory of anthropogenic heating in Tehran

2.3.1 Overview

The heat generation due to human activities is an important cause of the urban heat island (Oke, 1998). The heat island of some mega-cities have been documented by investigating extensive direct and remote sensing measurements as well as simulation studies, such as urban parameterizations in mesoscale meteorological and fluid dynamics models (Kim, 1992; Aniello et al., 1995; Ichinose et al., 1999; Saaroni et al., 2000; Martilli, 2002; Kondo and Kikegawa, 2003; Kato and Yamaguchi, 2005; Hung et al., 2006).

One of the main goals of this study is to estimate diurnal profile and distribution of anthropogenic heat flux ($Q_f$) in Tehran city, including a detailed formulation of this flux in a high resolution mesoscale meteorological (MM) model of the urban environment.

In the past decade, parameterization schemes such as Canopy Models (CM), Building Energy Models (BEM), the Drag-force Approach (DA) and urban soil models have been implemented in MM models to better incorporate urban geometric structure and thermodynamic characteristics. Therefore, new generations of MM models (see Figure 2.9) have multi-scale systems (MM–CM–BEM) that can be used for the quantitative assessment of the urban island effect. Similarly, this kind of parameterizations can be incorporated into Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) models (Milliez et al., 2006).

![Figure 2.9: Composition of the multi-scale MM models (MM–CM–BEM).](image-url)
Formulations for $Q_f$ or its components have been introduced into standard and modified versions of MM models (MM–CM or MM–CM–BEM) to study anthropogenic heating effects on local meteorology (Sailor et al., 2006; Martilli et al., 2002; Kikegawa et al., 2003).

Recent studies show daily average value of $Q_f$ in the range of 25 to 50 Wm$^{-2}$ and with maxima up to about 80 Wm$^{-2}$ in city-scale analyses (Klysik, 1996; Steinecke, 1999; Crutzen, 2004; Sailor and Lu, 2004; Offerle, 2005; Piringer and Joffre, 2005; Makar et al., 2006; Pigeon et al., 2006; Pigeon et al., 2007; Hamilton et al., 2009), while results with high spatial resolution in the downtown areas of mega-cities (e.g. Tokyo, San Francisco and Shenyang) show 5–10 times the magnitude of the city-scale average values (Saitoh and Shimada, 1996; Ichinose et al., 1999; Sang et al., 2000; Sailor and Lu, 2004). All recent studies confirm significant effects of anthropogenic heating on the formation of UHI and account for this flux in the urban energy balance. Taking into account $Q_f$ in MM models of mega-cities usually increases the simulated near-surface temperature by 0.5-5°C (Saitoh and Shimada, 1996; Ichinose et al., 1999; Kondo and Kikegawa, 2003; Kikegawa et al, 2003; Sailor and Fan, 2004, Makar et al., 2006; Sailor et al., 2006).

The spatial distribution of $Q_f$ is not easily available from monitoring data and is difficult to obtain from measurements and energy consumption inventory (Pigeon et al., 2006). Consequently, it has generally been difficult to estimate the spatial distribution of $Q_f$ and correctly estimate both heat storage and $Q_f$ in modeling studies (Pigeon et al., 2006; Offerle et al., 2005). The general calculating methods for spatial distribution of $Q_f$ can be based on some available relevant urban characteristics, such as meteorological measurements (Pigeon et al., 2006), population density pattern (Sailor and Lu, 2004; Markar et al., 2006), brightness (based on satellite images) (Makar et al., 2006), landuse classification (Piringer and Joffre, 2005), emission inventory for specific pollutants and measured or simulated fields of specific pollutant concentration (Baklanov, 2005). This work presents the design and application of a suitable method to develop spatial and temporal distributions of $Q_f$ in mega-cites.

The analysis of meteorological data from the Tehran observation network in urban, suburban and rural areas shows UHI intensity being stronger in winter, especially at night.
time, than in summer. The UHI intensity can reach 10 °C and $Q_f$ shows similar variation. One episode that can show the effect of $Q_f$ on UHI intensity is the vacation period at the beginning of each year. In the final days of the Iranian year (end of winter), Tehran always suffers from high concentration of traffic and population, but in the first days of the next year (21 March), about half of Tehran population leave the area, thereby leading to an extreme reduction in $Q_f$ value. In this episode, the UHI intensity shows a reduction of about 2-5 °C for the same meteorological conditions. Figure 2.10 illustrates a negative trend for the difference between the urban and rural observed temperatures during this episode and shows the effect of $Q_f$ reduction in the last days.

The main goal of this work is to calculate the urban anthropogenic heat flux by using a method that is based on an energy consumption inventory that reflects components, sub-components, scale, diurnal and seasonal variability and spatial distribution of the anthropogenic heat flux in Tehran region. We calculated the monthly anthropogenic heat flux for the city scale using various data sources including marketing databases, categories of energy consumption, thermodynamic parameters, fuel consumption in traffic sector (gasoline, diesel, Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) and Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)), fuel consumption in buildings (including all subcategories: Natural Gas (NG), diesel, kerosene and fuel oil) and electricity consumption. For example, Figure 2.11. represents monthly anthropogenic heat flux averaged over the urban area including the main components in Tehran during 2004-2005 that were calculated using such data.

After an initial study and data processing, in which we applied the top–down Sailor method based on population density and per capita consumption (Sailor and Lu, 2004) and concluded that this method is not well suited for Tehran. Figure 2.12 represents the city-scale diurnal $Q_f$ profile and its components in winter for Tehran during 2004-2005 obtained with this method. The most important limitations that we identified are listed below:

a) using a constant temporal profile for traffic and energy consumption: observed temporal profiles vary with the diurnal population.

b) using a simple relationship between traffic densities and population density: traffic densities in Tehran depend on many other parameters.
c) using the diurnal temperature profile formulation or the daily maximum and minimum temperature formulation in order to generate average daily NG load curve: the estimated hourly load curves (based on observations) for city-gas consumption do not have high correlation with the outdoor temperature in the Tehran region.

d) using the same land use for the entire city.

e) using a unique per capita consumption rate: the model and value for per capita energy consumption varies among districts and also between the resident and guest populations; for example, the northern part of Tehran is colder than the southern part and has different socio-cultural behaviors and different technology for indoor heating.

This method leads to overestimations in midday (high diurnal population) and early morning consumption that are due to the methods used to generate the daily NG load curve. The data available for gas consumption in Tehran show a different diurnal profile with a maximum during the afternoon until midnight in wintertime. Therefore, we present hereafter an improved method that takes into account observed consumption profiles, landuse classification, population density and inventory methodology for traffic heating.

![Figure 2.10: Scatter plot of observed $\Delta T = T_{\text{urban}} - T_{\text{rural}}$ from 13 to 27 March 2004 and 2005 every 3 hours.](image-url)
Figure 2.11: Monthly anthropogenic heat flux averaged over urban area in Tehran during 2004-2005.

Figure 2.12: Diurnal $Q_f$ profile in winter obtained with the Sailor method for Tehran during 2004-2005.

2.3.2 Calculation methodology

The population consumes energy in two main sectors: traffic and building sectors. Emissions due to human metabolism should also be considered although they are likely to be smaller. Vehicular traffic heat emissions are distributed spatially according to the street network and heat emissions from buildings can be divided into several subcategories such as residential, commercial, industrial, etc. and each subcategory can be further divided
into indoor and outdoor components. The reasons for this classification include different behavior of consumption and different methods for estimating heat flux in each subcategory. We summarized the building sector according to 10 subcategories by merging some similar landuse classes from 24 landuse classes available in our primary GIS database. Figure 2.13 shows the primary landuse and final subcategories contribution in the Tehran urban area. The anthropogenic heat flux will be the sum of all the heat fluxes corresponding to these sectors and their subcategories.

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{ns} H_i
\]

(2-1)

where the subscript (i) indicates the subcategory number as follows: 1) transportation (street network), 2) residential and 3) commercial-office (buildings free of population at night), 4) industrial, 5) area with low level of anthropogenic heat (e.g., gardens and agricultural areas), etc. The components of H can be divided into heat released from the point of consumption for each fuel and electricity and calculated in 4D (x, y, z and t). It is necessary to have a comprehensive description of diurnal profiles, monthly and seasonal cycle of consumptions and their annual growth index for each subcategory.

The heat flux quantity and its fractional (non-dimensional) spatial and temporal profiles are functions of many parameters, including climatic, socio-cultural, economic, vehicle categories, buildings architecture parameters, etc. In order to obtain accurate estimations of the anthropogenic spatial patterns for mega-cities similar to Tehran, it is desirable to develop a high resolution GIS database for key parameters and subsequent calculations. In
this study, various types of consumption data are converted to a GIS format in each district for traffic, NG and electricity. The computational domain has a 500 m resolution and covers an area of 60×45 km². This domain covers the Tehran mega city with all suburban industrial sites, factories, power plants and refinery.

Each component is developed separately based on specific formulation in order to have more validated spatial distributions. After the calculation of the spatial distribution of each component, one can estimate the net anthropogenic heating by superimposing all components. Because all components do not have the same height of release, it is disable to define a vertical profile and depth in order to generate vertical Qf distribution. For example, in the case of power plants and refineries, Qf can be released at heights of several hundred meters, because of the elevated plume release due to stack height as well as momentum and buoyancy of the thermal plume.

When incorporating Qf components into a multi-scale modeling system (MM–CM–BEM), one must also divide the bulk Qf components of each subcategories of each sector (except traffic) into indoor and outdoor fluxes.

### 2.3.2.1. Heating from vehicular traffic

Because of the high population density, large number of vehicles (more than 2 millions), significant traffic congestion, various fuel economy across vehicle categories and inhomogeneous traffic patterns, it was essential in this study to focus on the estimation of heat emitted from traffic. In order to control traffic and air pollution in downtown, Tehran municipality has enacted two traffic zones in recent years. One zone extends around 22 km² of the central downtown areas, keeping most passenger cars out during the working period (7 a.m. to 6 p.m.) and the second zone is an even-odd traffic zone, where people can drive according to the car license plate number (this second zone was originally enforced only during high air pollution episodes; it is enforced continuously since 2005). Because of their traffic zones and differences in urban design, the traffic pattern does not match the population density in Tehran mega city, therefore, as mentioned above, a top-down model based on population density is not well suited for this case.
In order to estimate the heat released from vehicles, we have used information from the database on traffic links and nodes of the Tehran road network (output from EMME/2 model and available observations). The general steps for the calculation of this component are the following: preparing detail traffic parameters on network for all periods (e.g. workdays and weekends of each month from traffic model and observations), preparing GIS database, estimating and validating alternate factors for developing diurnal and monthly traffic profiles, estimating the energy release per vehicle per kilometer of travel for all vehicle categories and calculating the heat released on the traffic network (by link and by zone) in the domain to obtain the anthropogenic heating pattern due to urban traffic. It is desirable to obtain accurate key parameters for each vehicle category, its distribution of consumed fuels, mean fuel economies (FE: km l⁻¹) and some fuel chemical-physical properties such as, fuel density (ρf: kg l⁻¹) and mean net heat of combustion (NHC: MJ kg⁻¹) for the period of the studied episode. Examples of this information are given in Table 2.7. The average weekday hourly fractional traffic profiles (Ft) for all vehicle categories are extracted from the database. The corresponding fractional traffic profiles are given in Figure 2.14. A sharp peak appears in the morning (~0700-0800) and a damped peak occurs in the afternoon (~1200-1900).

The approach used here presents some similarity to that used by Grimmond (1992) and Sailor-Lu (2004), however, it is formulated in order to take advantage of the output from the traffic model and available data from the Tehran Comprehensive Transportation and Traffic Studies Company (TCTTSC). With the values listed in Table 1, traffic velocity (V: m/s) and vehicle number by category (1 to 7), one can calculate the bulk anthropogenic heat release in any link by time interval (ΔT: s) as follows:

\[ H_{\text{Link}} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \sum_{j=1}^{7} f_i \times V_j \times \Delta T \times \frac{NHC_j \times \rho_{f,i}}{\text{FE}_j(V)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-2)

where \( f_i \) is the number of vehicles in each category (i), so that one can use fuel economy data FE(V) with this approach. In this study, all links are considered flat and the effect of slope on FE(V) is neglected. In order to estimate the mean \( Q_f \) for a given time interval, one estimates the influence area for each link as follows:

\[ H_{\text{Link}} = \frac{H_{\text{Link}}}{\Delta T \times A_{\text{Link}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-3)
After reviewing the distribution of values by month, it was observed that it was feasible to develop monthly alternative factors (and also for weekends) to approximate the variability of traffic. It is suggested that values of 0.9 and 0.5 for the first (Thursday in Iran) and second day of weekend, respectively, be used as alternative factors in comparison with workdays. We consider November as the reference for the calculation of monthly alternative factors. Table 2.8 represents suggested alternative factors for each month.

Table 2.7: Fuel consumption and characteristics for Tehran vehicle categories (2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicles categories</th>
<th>Fuel and Consumption distribution</th>
<th>Fuel density (kg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mean net heat of combustion (MJ kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mean fuel economy (km l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDV</td>
<td>45 Gasoline 100</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>25 LPG 85.5</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNG 5.6</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gasoline 8.9</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT</td>
<td>8 Gasoline 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>2 CNG 17.9</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diesel (NAT) 68.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diesel (TCT) 13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck</td>
<td>2 Diesel</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minibus</td>
<td>3 Diesel</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor cycle</td>
<td>15 Gasoline 100</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>20.790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDV: Light duty vehicle, LDT: Light duty truck, NAT: Natural aspirated technology, TCT: Turbo charge technology

Recently, the Iran government implemented an encouragement strategy for vehicle manufacturing factories, organizations and people to produce and use vehicles adapted with compressed natural gas (CNG), to renew their cars and to improve the public transportation systems in order to reduce pollutant emissions and control energy consumptions. Consequently, we will observe a significant decrease in fuel consumption by vehicle in the near future.

This $Q_f$ component due to traffic is released outdoor ($H_i$) and inside street canyons. It is incorporated into multi-scale systems as one of the outdoor anthropogenic heat flux components.
2.3.2.2. Heating from electricity consumption

A high percentage of electric power is used for lighting, air conditioning and a host of domestic and office appliances in the residential and commercial sectors; the remaining consumption occurs in the industrial, street lighting, agricultural and transportation subcategories. We developed an accurate dynamic distribution of electricity consumption for all these sectors. Figure 2.15 illustrates the average distribution of electricity consumption in the main sectors.
Figure 2.15: The distribution of electricity consumption for each main sector.

In order to develop an accurate diurnal and monthly variability of electricity consumption in Tehran, we used an hourly digital database derived from scanning of available electrical division posts. These hourly consumption data are archived by Tehran Province Regional Electricity Company (TREC)’s Consumption Management Administration. TREC divides Tehran into 6 districts (NE, NW, SW, SE, W and central). For more accurate calculations, all parameters pertaining to this component were treated individually in each district. For example, Figure 2.16 shows the hourly electricity fraction for the average diurnal cycle in each of 12 months for Tehran (in the area free of factories).

To generate consumption profiles for all subcategories, we used the following time-varying function that modules diurnally by means of a Fourier series fitting function.

\[ F(t) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ a_i \cos \left( \frac{2n \pi t}{24} \right) + b_i \sin \left( \frac{2n \pi t}{24} \right) \right] \]  

(2-4)

where \( a_i, b_i \) and \( n \) are estimated from data fitting. Figure 2.17 shows that the number of harmonics \( n \) equals 3 is sufficient to give a valid fitting. The diurnal electricity profiles for weekends are different from those for workdays. These are also differences between the first and second days in weekends.
By the electricity consumption function, daily electricity consumption \((DC)_e\) and heating production rate \((HPR)\) for each sector, one can calculate the total heat release in any sector and in one hour as follows:

\[
H_{es} = \left[(DC)(HPR)F(t)\right]_{es}
\]  

(2-5)

We used HPR equals 0.9, because about 10% of electricity is lost during transmission (Khan and Simpson, 2001). The next step is to calculate the spatial distribution of this heat flux. To that end, we use population density following Sailor and Lu (2004) for the residential subcategory. For the other subcategories, we used category-specific information about consumption and its diurnal profiles. Figure 2.18 shows the resident population density pattern in our domain.
In winter, the main part of this $Q_f$ component is released indoor ($H_e$). It is incorporated into multi-scale modeling systems as an indoor flux. Some function of this component (that coming from outdoor lighting) is released outside of buildings.

In summer, one of the important parts of $H_e$ comes from air conditioning and is released outdoor. In BEM, this part is simulated and one has to force BEM only with the indoor anthropogenic heat from other applications. However, one must filter the air conditioning electricity consumption share from the total electricity consumption. One can estimate the air conditioning electricity consumption from detailed measurements or by comparing diurnal consumption between two episodes that have different diurnal temperature: one with diurnal temperature around comfort level and other one with higher temperature.

### 2.3.2.3. Heating from fuels consumption

Natural gas (NG) is the dominant heating fuel in Tehran building sectors (more than 96%). NG is used for the purpose of space heating, water heating and cooking in urban buildings. It is the essential fuel in factories, especially in refinery and power plant industries. Other fuels include fuel oil, diesel, kerosene and LPG.

Tehran Gas Company (TGC) divides Tehran into 12 districts and the marketing database of NG on Tehran region has 16 subcategories. Figure 2.19 illustrates the average distribution of NG consumption in the main sectors.
We tested both the diurnal temperature profile formulation and the daily maximum and minimum temperature formulation (Sailor et al., 1998; Sailor and Lu, 2004) in order to generate average daily NG load curve in Tehran. We found some differences between the estimated profiles from observations (with some approximations) and the generated profiles from these formulations. Recent intensive experimental studies show that the hourly load curves for city-gas consumption do not follow exactly the outdoor temperature (Ueno, 2006) and do not show a main peak of consumption in early morning. For example, in Tehran, the main peak is observed near midnight in winter. The models that have been based on outdoor temperature are suitable for generating daily and monthly profiles but not hourly profiles, because consumption terms (except space heating) have important effects on diurnal profiles. Figure 2.20 compares NG consumption profiles using the two Sailor formulations and the profile estimated from observations in winter.

We used a time-varying NG consumption profile that modules diurnally using Fourier fitting of observations (similar method as that used for electricity component), HPR equal 0.8 (on the other hand thermal combustion efficiency in this case) and nominal heating value for NG of 8250 kcal per cubic meter from TGC analysis and measurements. We considered that 60% of the gross energy in the fuel is lost as waste heat at power plants. In the case of refineries, it is important to know the strategy of fuel consumption, because some refineries produce their own fuel. We used the same method for developing the spatial distribution of this component as for the electricity component.

The main fraction of this $Q_r$ component is released indoor ($H_g$). It is incorporated into multi-scale modeling systems as an indoor flux. Some fraction comes out from building chimneys ($H_c$). The outdoor fractions depend on season and for example in winter, we considered 25% of total value in residential area.
2.3.2.4. Heating from human metabolism

Humans represent the dominant source of metabolic heat in urban settings. The metabolic rates are not constant over the course of a day. For example, metabolic rates for sleeping, sitting (at rest), slow walking and more intense activities are suggested to be about 75, 115, 230 and 300-350 W, respectively (Fanger, 1972; Guyton, 1986). The method used here is similar to that used by Sailor and Lu (2004) and uses a profile for metabolic rate.
For comparison, the city-wide metabolism heat flux is 0.7 (nighttime) to 2.3 (daytime) Wm\(^{-2}\) in Tehran and it contributes only 4-5% of the total city-wide \(Q_f\).

This component has indoor and outdoor components \((H_m)\) and estimates of the population density indoor and outdoor are needed as a function of time during the day.

### 2.3.3 Results and discussion

By using a new detailed methodology, we calculated representative summer and winter diurnal city-wide \(Q_f\) profiles for Tehran. Figure 2.21 illustrates the \(Q_f\) profiles and their components. Wintertime \(Q_f\) is larger than summertime \(Q_f\) with values of 20-25 Wm\(^2\). The contributions of fuel consumption, traffic, electricity and human metabolism are 54, 32, 13 and 4 %, respectively, in winter, reflecting the importance of heating emissions from buildings in this period. In summer, traffic is more important than all other components and the respective contributions change to 25, 44, 26 and 5 %.

![Figure 2.21: Representative city-scale \(Q_f\) profile and its components for winter (left) and summer (right) in Tehran urban area (2004-2005).](image)

Both profiles exhibit a dual peak shape, with a morning peak and a slightly larger evening peak. These patterns can cause a significant effect on the energy balance during meteorological transition periods.

In the case of indoor and outdoor anthropogenic heat fluxes, we find that both of them show a dual peak shape but that the morning peak is stronger for the indoor flux and the before midnight peak is stronger for the outdoor flux. In winter, the indoor \(Q_f\) flux shows
larger values especially before midnight. In summer, the outdoor $Q_f$ flux shows a similar profile, because this flux does not include the air conditioning flux (see Figure 2.22).

According to the results of this study, we find that in the urban core region, all components of $Q_f$ can show maximum values and $Q_f$ can be 4-7 times the magnitude of the city-wide values. Those values are greater than those in industrial areas except for the main Tehran power plants and oil refinery that are located in the south part of Tehran. Also estimated $Q_f$ in crowded intersections, facilities with high consumption (such as some factories, hotels, dormitories, department stores, etc.) and high rise and high population density areas can produce $Q_f$ with values for 200-300 Wm$^2$ when using fine spatial resolutions.

Figure 2.23 presents the results of the heat flux budget developed in this study and shows the spatial distribution of the daily mean $Q_f$ in Tehran region.

![Figure 2.22](image_url): Representative indoor (top) and outdoor (down) $Q_f$ components profile (to find out the signification, please refer to the text) for winter (right) and summer (left) in north central Tehran urban area (2004-2005).
We recommend that future heat budget studies for mega-cities use a similar approach as the one used here, based on local observations and marketing data (rather than per capita parameters for a province or a country), diurnal consumption profiles that all specific to each subcategories and accurate traffic spatial and temporal distributions. The results of this study can be of benefit for mesoscale meteorological modeling in urban areas and studies of the interaction between urban canopy heat island and topographic flows in the Tehran region. In the next chapter, this heat flux inventory is used in a multi-scale meteorological model of the Tehran region.
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CHAPTER THREE

Local Meteorology and Urbanization Effects

3.1 Introduction

The planetary boundary layer (PBL) structure and its evolution play a major role in the physical and chemical processes related to air pollution formation and dispersion in the atmosphere. Local thermally driven mesoscale circulations and flows such as sea breezes, topographic flows and urban heat island (UHI) circulation can have significant effects on local weather, the diurnal evolution of the boundary layer structure and pollutant transport, particularly under synoptic anticyclonic conditions.

The effects and interactions of two local thermally driven processes, topographic flows and UHI under different meso and synoptical conditions play an important role in the Tehran region's weather.

The term of topographic flows will refer to any or all of upslope, downslope and valley flows as a group. In valley cities and during calm periods, meteorological conditions may lead to critical air quality problems due to low horizontal ventilation especially during transition between slope flows in early morning and in the late afternoon (Whiteman, 1990, 2000) and also due to the interaction of slope winds with the urban heat island, especially during winter and night times, when vertical diffusion is small (Atkinson, 1981). Following the convention of Segal and Arritt (1992), nonclassical mesoscale circulations (NCMCs) can be generated by differential sensible and latent heat fluxes to the atmosphere produced by spatial gradients in thermal and radiative properties such as albedo and thermal conductivity, differences in snow cover or vegetation (Segal et al., 1989; Segal et al., 1991; Rife et al., 2002). Also, aerodynamic contrasts can lead to
enhanced or suppressed mechanical mixing and, therefore, affect vertical and horizontal momentum transport (Grimmond and Oke, 1999). In real situations, the driving forces behind these circulations can interact in complicated ways, making accurate simulations difficult. Poulos (1996) provides an excellent review of the literature on katabatic flows. Analytical models of slope flows have explained these winds using various dynamics and thermodynamic forcing terms. Mahrt (1982) defined several types of downslope flows in terms of the relevant forcing mechanisms, based on a scale analysis of the momentum equations. Since the theoretical treatments of Prandtl (1952) and Defant (1949), there have been few papers regarding the understanding of daytime upslope winds. They occur under generally benign conditions and are considered relevant mainly because of their role in heat transport within the valley atmosphere, although valley venting of pollutants can occur as a result of converging upslope flows on ridge tops (e.g., de Wekker, 2002; Reuten et al., 2005).

Several factors can cause deviations from classical slope/valley flow patterns: along-valley changes in topography, differential heating of slopes with different aspects and slope angles, ridgetop geometry, and variations in surface energy properties (Whiteman, 1990). Several studies of local-scale thermally driven flows have been conducted in arid places; they describe the diurnal cycles of slope and valley wind systems with a climatology that encompasses the combined effects of the mountain/plain, valley, slope, land/lake, and urban wind systems, especially under the condition of weak synoptic forcing (Stewart et al., 2002; Rife et al., 2002; Banta, 2004; Zumpfe, 2004).

Urbanization is often associated with surface temperature anomalies such as the UHI and the oasis effect. Changes in local and regional atmospheric processes associated with urbanization are complex and difficult to generalize (Oke, 1982), but we now have the computational and observational tools to explore how particular urbanization scenarios affect meteorology and climate. There are several causes of a UHI, including canyon effects (blocking of radiation and wind), longwave re-emission from the warmer air above the city (local greenhouse effect and induced vertical warm advection), changes in the thermal properties of materials (thermal storage), anthropogenic heating (heat generation by human activity) and lack of evapotranspiration (lack of vegetation and
standing water) (Oke, 1988). The urban area cools off more slowly than the surrounding countryside and UHIs are often strongest at night (e.g., Bornstein, 1968; Oke, 1982; Draxler, 1986; Morris et al., 2001).

Atkinson (2003) ran sensitivity studies using a numerical model of an idealized urban area configured to represent London, England. Among the various possible contributors to UHI (albedo, anthropogenic heat, emissivity, roughness length, sky view factor, surface resistance to evaporation, and thermal inertia), surface resistance to evaporation was the most important factor controlling heat island strength during the day, while anthropogenic heating dominated at night. The increased roughness length associated with urbanization was the only factor that decreased the strength of the heat island, by increasing turbulent mixing in the surface layer. The heat island of some mega-cities (cities with population in excess of 10 million people) has been investigated by extensive direct and remote sensing measurements and also by simulation studies, especially using urban parameterizations in mesoscale meteorological and fluid dynamics models (e.g., Aniello et al., 1995; Ichinose et al., 1999; Saaroni et al., 2000; Martilli, 2002; Kondo and Kikeyawa, 2003; Kato and Yamaguch, 2005; Hung et al., 2006).

The magnitude of the urbanization impact on climate is sensitive to both the local climate regime and to various urban parameters. It remains a major research challenge to determine how, in various situations, urbanization interacts with other local forcing factors. Because generalizing the effects of urbanization on local weather is impossible, the problem must be broken down into more specific pieces.

Climate, terrain, and land-surface contrasts make Tehran Mega-City (TMC) a unique laboratory for studying PBL development in complex terrain.

In the present chapter, the PBL structure in TMC is studied during a high pollution episode.

Unfortunately, TMC area is very poor in urban meteorological background information from either field campaign observations or modeling studies. In this study, we selected November and December 2005 as two-month study period and we focus on the episode of 2 until 8 December where high concentrations of pollutants were observed. Results are presented for December 5th.
3.1.1 Topography of the region
The principal highland regions of the Tehran province are the Alborz mountain in the north and the Kavir desert in the south east. Tehran lies in a basin, situated south of the Alborz mountain range that crosses the north part of Iran (Figure 3.1). It is located at 35.7 °N and 51.4 °E. The TMC is 45 km long and 30 km wide with a valley bottom elevation of ~1050 to 1800 m above mean sea level (MSL). It means that the average slope is 0.026 in the north-south direction. It is bounded on about three sides by steep mountains and on the south by the Kavir desert (see Figure 3.1 for location map). The surrounding topography rises to as high as 3000-3700 m MSL in ~7 km toward north and about 1800-2200 m MSL in ~10 km toward east and south-east. Several deep and narrow valleys enter the TMC from the Alborz mountains to the south and wide narrow valleys to the south-west. More than 10 million people live within and adjacent to the TMC in this valley, which includes the urban, residential, and agricultural land covers. These topography and land-surface contrasts produce a variety of flows, although their characteristics vary with season and synoptic situations.

3.1.2 Main features of the climate and meteorology in the region
The TMC has a steppe or semi-arid climate with mean annual precipitation (MAP) of 230 mm. The Alborz mountain blocks moist air from the Caspian sea and the rainfall is produced by Mediterranean synoptic systems, which move eastward along with westerly winds during the cold season. The prevailing synoptic wind is generally from the west in TMC, but combination of high terrain, urbanization and weak synoptic forcing can lead to weak and variable winds with complex circulation patterns in this area. North-east of Tehran (Aghdasiyeh station), SW and NE winds are about the most prevailing in the day and night time respectively (with a frequency of 50 – 60 %). It means that during weak synoptic forcing period, this area is affected by mountain and valley winds. Areas west and south of Tehran show some lower frequency in the cycling of diurnal wind direction (Figure 3.2). The mean wind speed during night time is somewhat weaker than that during day time. The mean standard wind speed in the west
(3.1 m s⁻¹) is larger than that observed in the east (1.5 m s⁻¹), because regional wind flows can enter this area during periods of moderate and strong synoptic forcing.

Figure 3.1: (a) Surface topography with 200 km around Tehran (400 km x 400 km, resolution of two km). The central black square indicates Tehran metropolitan; (b) Surface topography closed to Tehran (55 km x 45 km, resolution of one km), AGH: Aghdasiyeh station, DOS: Doshantapeh station, GEO: Geophysics station (including Sodar observation), MEH: Mehrabad station (including Radiosonde observation), CHI: Chitgar station, T1: Resalat tower and T2: Tehransar tower.
The vertical profiles of temperature and wind observations obtained with captive sonde and low level sonde during the JICA campaign (a: October 8, through October 15, 1996 and b: February 22 through March 1, 1997) show some discontinuity originating from local circulations. As mentioned before, the thick southwesterlies toward the northern mountains during daytime and the shallow northeasterlies from the mountains correspond to the up slope and down slope winds, respectively. During the day, the prevailing wind direction is SSW~SW, SW, SSW~SW and SW~WSW at the surface level, 50 m, 100 m, 150 – 400 m, and 450 – 700 m, respectively; at night, NNE~NE prevails at the surface, N~NE at 50 m and WSW in upper 300 m, but there is no prevailing wind direction between 100 and 250 m (JICA, 1997).

The inversion observed at night and early morning forms by a combination of surface radiative cooling and cold advection of down slope winds especially in north east of Tehran (Aghdasiyeh station). The inversion thickness can sometimes exceed to more than 100 m and its difference temperature between the top and bottom can reach 5 °C (JICA, 1997).

The sodar observations at the Institute of Geophysics (see location in Figure 3.1) clearly illustrate an upslope flow during the day and downslope flow at night, both having magnitudes of ~1-3 m s⁻¹ during weak synoptic forcing conditions (e.g. Figure 3.3). The main observations show strong time variations and wind observations show semi-periodic oscillations during both night and day with periods typically longer than 40 minutes that could be due to internal waves induced by drainage flows at night, instability of the upslope flow during the day (Bidokhti and Noroozi, 2004).

The thickness of anabatic flow was between 220–300 m and the thickness of katabatic flow appears to be decreasing with the increasing speed of headwinds aloft; typical thicknesses varied from 150 to 250 m. The morning and evening transitions were characterized by low speed and highly variable winds.
Figure 3.2: 10 meter windrose of Tehransar: "T2" (left ones) and Resalat: "T1" towers (right ones), during the entire day (first row), between 8am until 4pm (second row) and 8pm until 4am (third row) in 2005.
Layers of different temperature and wind speed/direction characterize the vertical structure of the flow. The formation of such layers in TMC may occur due to different air masses of different densities originating at slopes of different orientations surrounding the air basin. This formation is similar to what was observed on an eastern slope of the Salt Lake basin during the Vertical Transport and Mixing Experiment (VTMX) and we have the same interpretation as Monti et al. (2002) for this case.

The TMC UHI intensity usually shows a maximum value in winter that can reach 6-7 °C at night; very high values of 9-10°C have been observed on calm winter nights. Such high UHI intensities are due to the anthropogenic heat effect that is the most important factor affecting UHI intensity at night.

![Figure 3.3: Observed vertical profiles of wind speed (left) and wind direction (right) by sodar at an urban location during December 5th, 2005.](image)

### 3.2 Model and methods

In order to achieve realistic simulations of air quality at urban and neighborhood scales (i.e., on the order of 1-km horizontal grid spacing), detailed meteorological fields are required; particularly inside the roughness sub-layer (RSL). As discussed in chapter 1, the drag-force approach (DA) is preferable to the roughness approach at such scales (note that the latter is used by most mesoscale meteorological models). This chapter provides a
summary of applied urban parameterizations used in the model and the estimation of essential parameters used to initialize the modified model applied to the Tehran basin.

The model used in this study is the standard version of the fifth-generation Pennsylvania State University–National Center for Atmospheric Research Mesoscale Model (MM5; Grell et al. 1994) and a modified parameterization of MM5 that is called DA-SM2-U (Dupont et al., 2004). DA-SM2-U includes some improvements are able to simulate all meteorological fields within and above the rural and urban canopies.

The DA-SM2-U parameterization uses the DA approach to represent the dynamics and turbulent effects, (i) of the buildings following the work of Lacser and Otte (2002) and Martilli et al. (2002), and (ii) of the vegetation. The DA is developed inside the E - l Gayno-Seaman planetary boundary layer (GSPBL) model (Shafran et al., 2000). The DA is coupled with a modified version of the soil model SM2-U (Dupont et al., 2002; Dupont and Mestayer, 2006; Dupont et al., 2006), which is capable of determining the heat fluxes and surface temperatures in each in-canopy computational cell following the vertical distribution of the vegetation and buildings. In addition, it takes into account different detailed processes such as shadowing effects, radiative trapping inside street canyons, heat storage, vegetation transpiration, evaporation from the bare soil and from the water intercepted by the canopy elements, etc. A comparison of the drag-force approach (DA) coupled with urban soil model SM2-U with the roughness approach is illustrated in Figure 3.4 (see also section 1.3 of chapter 1).

A summary of DA-SM2-U modifications are present in this section that include considered morphological characteristics, the modifications to use the DA approach and the urban soil model "SM2-U(3D)", adapted for this approach. The modifications of the hydrological representation of roofs and vegetation are not described here because the model is not applied for a rainy event (see Dupont et al., 2006). The modifications of the vertical turbulent transport in DA approach modifications and also the surface temperature and heat flux equations related to the SM2-U(3D) soil model are not described here (see Dupont et al., 2004).

Table 3.1 presents the surface types considered in DA-SM2-U.
DA-SM2-U modifications have been implemented inside two main parts of MM5:

- MM5 GSPBL scheme by modification of the conservation equations and turbulence length.
- Soil model SM2-U by modification of the surface canopy heat fluxes equations and ground equations.

![Figure 3.4](image-url): Scheme of the canopy parameterization of DA-SM2-U with layers inside the canopy, compared with the RA-SM2-U configuration (Dupont et al., 2004).

### Table 3.1: Considered surface types in DA-SM2-U

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface types for DA-SM2-U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pav</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indexes and parameters used in DA-SM2-U for the urban canopy representation are listed below with their descriptions.

\[
j: \text{index for surface type} \\
k: \text{level above the ground} \\
\text{Surf}_j(k): \text{top area density of the surface type ‘}j\text{’ at the level }k (m^2 m^{-2}) \\
f_j: \text{horizontal surface density of the surface type ‘}j\text{’} (m^2 m^{-2}) \\
\text{Surf}_{tot}(k) = \sum_j f_j \text{Surf}_j(k): \text{total top area density at the level }k \quad (3.1) \\
A_{fj}(k) \text{ and } A_{pj}(k): \text{frontal area density} (m^2 m^{-3}) \text{ and plan area density} (m^2 m^{-3}), \text{respectively}
\]
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\[ S_{\text{air}}(k) = (1 - f_{\text{bui}}) + \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} f_{\text{bui}} \text{Surf}_{\text{bui}}(p) \] : horizontal air density at the level \( k \)  \( (3.2) \)

\[ V_{\text{air}}(k) = \int_{z(k)-0.5\Delta z(k)}^{z(k)+0.5\Delta z(k)} S_{\text{air}}(z')dz' \] : volume air density \( (m^3 m^{-2}) \) at the level \( k \)  \( (3.3) \)

where \( z \) is the altitude above the ground and \( \Delta z \) is the vertical thickness of the cell.

3.2.1 MM5 GSPBL scheme modifications

3.2.1.1 Momentum equation

\[ \frac{\partial \rho <u_i>}{\partial t} = R_{ui} + F_{\text{bui}}^{ui} + \sum_j D_{ui}^j \]  \( (3.4) \)

where \( \rho \) is the air density, \( < > \) denotes the Reynolds averaged variables, \( u_i \) is the horizontal wind speed component, \( R_{ui} \) represents the general forcing terms in the equation of \( <u_i> \) and the other two terms added to the momentum equation are:

- \( F_{\text{bui}}^{ui} \) corresponds to momentum sources due to the presence of horizontal surfaces of buildings.

\[ F_{\text{bui}}^{ui} = \left( \frac{\rho(k)}{V_{\text{air}}(k)} \right) \frac{f_{\text{bui}} \text{Surf}_{\text{bui}}(k) [u_s(k)]^2 [u_{\text{bui}}(k)]}{(u_s(k))^2 + (u_s(k))^2} \]  \( (3.5) \)

where \( u_{\text{bui}} \) is the friction velocity induced by roofs.

- \( D_{ui}^j \) corresponds to momentum sources due to the pressure and viscous drag force induced by the presence of the vegetation and of the building vertical surfaces.

\[ D_{ui}^j(k) = -\rho(k)C_d A_{\text{bui}}(k) (u_i(k)\sqrt{(u_s(k))^2 + (u_s(k))^2}) \]  \( (3.6) \)

where \( C_d \) is the effective drag coefficient.

3.2.1.2 Thermal equation

\[ \frac{\partial \rho \langle \theta_L \rangle}{\partial t} = R_{\theta} + D_{\theta} + A_{\theta} \]  \( (3.7) \)

This equation is the liquid-water potential air temperature equation, \( \theta_L \) is the liquid-water potential temperature, \( R_{\theta} \) represents the general forcing terms in the equation of \( <\theta_L> \) and the other two terms is added into this equation are:
$D_θ$ corresponds to the sensible heat sources from buildings and the vegetation:

$$D_θ(k) = \left( \frac{1}{V_{\text{air}}(k)} \right) \frac{H_{\text{sens-mean}}(k)}{c_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)$$

where $H_{\text{sens-mean}}(k)$ is the sensible heat flux emitted at the level $k$ by buildings and vegetation per unit of ground (see Eq. 3.21 for details) and $c_p$ is the specific heat of air. $A_θ$ corresponds to the heat source from the anthropogenic heat flux $Q_{\text{urb}}$ (see section 2.3.2 for details).

$$A_θ(k) = \left( \frac{1}{V_{\text{air}}(k)} \right) \frac{Q_{\text{urb}}(k)}{c_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)$$

### 3.2.1.3 Humidity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho \langle q_w \rangle}{\partial t} = R_q + D_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)$$

This equation is the total air water content equation, $q_w$ is the total water content equal to the sum of the specific humidity and the liquid water content, $R_q$ represents the general forcing terms of this equation and $D_q$ is added.

$D_q$ corresponds to the humidity sources from buildings and vegetation (the evapotranspiration from the vegetation and the evaporation of the water intercepted by buildings).

$$D_q(k) = \frac{E_{\text{mean}}(k)}{V_{\text{air}}(k)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)$$

where $E_{\text{mean}}$ is the humidity flux per unit of ground from surfaces located at the level $k$ inside the canopy (see Equ. 3.21 for details).

### 3.2.1.4 Turbulent kinetic energy equation

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \langle u_i \rangle E}{\partial x_i} + \left\{ K_m \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \langle u_i \rangle}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \langle u_j \rangle}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] S_{\text{air}} + F^{\text{bas}}_E \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{g}{\theta_r} \langle w \theta_r \rangle + H_E \right\} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \langle \rho \langle w E \rangle \rangle}{\partial z} + \sum_{\text{[4]}} W_{\text{[5]}} - \sum_{\text{[7]}} D_{E}$$
where $E$ is the TKE, $\theta_v$ is the virtual potential temperature, $g$ is the gravity acceleration, and $K_m$ is the eddy diffusivity for momentum and the terms on the right-hand side of the equation represent:

[1]: advective transport term by the mean flow

[2]: dynamic shear production term that is divided into two parts, the first part corresponds to the dynamic shear production by the mean flow and the second part corresponds to the shear production by the building horizontal surfaces, which is parameterized from the friction velocity and the eddy diffusivity $K_m$ for momentum:

$$F_{E}^{bui}(k) = \frac{\int_{bui} \text{Surf}_{bui} [u_{bui}(k)]^2}{K_m(k)}$$

(3.13)

[3]: buoyancy production term that is divided into two parts, the first part corresponds to buoyancy production by vertical heat flux (except $D_\theta$ and $A_\theta$) and the second part corresponds to buoyancy production by $D_\theta$ and $A_\theta$.

$$H_E(k) = \frac{g}{\theta_v(k)} V_{air}(k)[D_\theta(k) + A_\theta(k)]$$

(3.14)

[4]: turbulent transport term

[5]: turbulent dissipation term

[6]: TKE accelerated cascade term

$$W_E^{ij}(k) = 4C_{ij} A_j(k) (\langle u_x \rangle^2 + \langle v_y \rangle^2)^{1.5}$$

(3.15)

[7]: wake production term

$$D_E^{ij}(k) = 4C_{ij} A_j(k) (\langle u_x \rangle^2 + \langle u_y \rangle^2)^{0.5} E(k)$$

(3.16)

### 3.2.1.5 Turbulent length scale (TLS)

$$\frac{1}{l(k)} = \frac{1}{l_{BL}(k)} + \frac{1}{l_{can}(k)}$$

(3.17)

where $l_{BL}$, TLS parameterisation of Bougeault and Lacarrère (1989), is derived from the upward and downward displacements ($l_{up}$ and $l_{down}$):
\[
\frac{1}{l_{BL}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{l_{up}} + \frac{1}{l_{down}} \right)
\]  
(3.18)

where \(l_{up}\) and \(l_{down}\) are determined from the following equations:

\[
\int_{z}^{z+l_{up}} \frac{g}{\theta_{vs}} \left[ \theta_{v}(z') - \theta_{v}(z) \right] dz' = E(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{z-l_{down}}^{z} \frac{g}{\theta_{vs}} \left[ \theta_{v}(z) - \theta_{v}(z') \right] dz' = E(z)
\]  
(3.19)

where \(l_{down} < z\), and \(\theta_{vs}\) is the virtual potential temperature near the surface.

\(l_{can}\) is the TLS induced by higher canopy elements determined from the following equations:

\[
\frac{1}{l_{can}(k)} = \sum_{j} f_{j, Surf_{j}}(p) \left( \frac{1}{1 - Surf_{int}(0)} \right) z(p)
\]  
(3.20)

for \(Surf_{int}(0) \neq 1\), and

\[
\frac{1}{l_{can}(k)} = 0
\]

for \(Surf_{int}(0) = 1\).

### 3.2.2 Description of the SM2-U(3D) Model

The new version of SM2-U, called SM2-U(3D), assesses at each level inside the canopy the heat fluxes (sensible and latent) from the canopy elements and the water intercepted by the canopy elements (Dupont, 2001; Dupont et al., 2002; Dupont et al., 2004; Dupont and Mestayer, 2006; Dupont et al., 2006). The ground part in SM2-U(3D) (i.e., the soil layer water content and the ground surface heat flux equations) is identical to SM2-U. The modifications of the hydrological representation of roofs and vegetation are not described here because the model is not applied for a rainy event. But with the DA, the roofs and vegetation water reservoirs are vertically distributed following the surface vertical distribution. Thus, the equations of evolution of the water intercepted by these reservoirs are solved at each level inside the canopy.

#### 3.2.2.1 Mean heat flux inside the canopy

\[
\Phi_{mean}(k) = \sum_{j} f_{j, Surf_{j}}(k) \Phi_{j}(k) + f_{pav} \Phi_{pav}^{*}(k)
\]  
(3.21)
Φ represents either the net radiation flux (Rn), the sensible heat flux (Hsens), the latent heat flux (LE), or the storage heat flux (Gs).

The superscript * indicates that the variable has been modified from the initial parameterisation to consider the heat repartition inside the street canyons, which is described in Subsection 3.2.2.3-4. The parameterisations of the surface temperatures and of the sensible and latent heat fluxes are similar to those used in SM2-U but extend to all levels inside the canopy (Dupont et al., 2004).

### 3.2.2.2 Net radiation flux

\[
R_n(k) = \left[(1 - \alpha_j)R_G(k) - \varepsilon_j \sigma (T_n(k)^4 - \varepsilon_a T(k+1)^4)\right] \times \exp \left[ -k_{ex} \sum_{p=p_{min}}^{p_{max}} \sum_{f_i} A_{pf_i}(p) \Delta z(p) \right] \cos Z_e
\]  

(3.22)

\(R_G\) is the direct and diffused solar radiation, \(\sigma\) the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \(\alpha_j\) and \(\varepsilon_j\) the surface albedo and emissivity, \(\varepsilon_a\) and \(T\) the air emissivity and temperature, \(k_{ex} = 1.5\) the radiation extinction coefficient, and \(Z_e\) the zenith angle.

### 3.2.2.3 Latent heat flux from paved surfaces

\[LE_{pav}^*(k) = 0\]  

(3.23)

the water interception by walls being neglected.

The latent heat flux is considered as emitted at the floor level, thus,

\[LE_{pav}^*(0) = \sum_{p=0}^{p_{max}} Surf_{pav}^*(p)LE_{pav}(p)\]  

(3.24)

where Surf\(^*\)\(_{pav}\) is the top area density of the street canyon.

### 3.2.2.4 Sensible and net radiative fluxes

\[\phi_{pav}^*(k) = \frac{2\Delta z(k)\Psi_{w-s}(p,k)}{\sum_{p=1}^{p_{max}}[2\Delta z(t)\Psi_{w-s}(p,t)] + W(p)\Psi_{r-s}(p,0)}\phi_{pav}(p)\]  

(3.25)

where \(\phi \in \{H_{sens}, R_n\}\), \(\psi_{r-s}(p, 0)\) is the sky view factor from the street canyon floor having its top at the level \(p\), \(\psi_{r-s}(p, k)\) the sky view factor at the level \(k\) from one wall of
the street canyon having its top at the level \( p \), and \( W(p) \) is the width of the street canyon having its top at the level \( p \).

\[
\phi_{\text{par}}'(0) = \frac{W(p)\psi_{r-\rightarrow}(p,0)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[2\Delta z(t)\psi_{w-\rightarrow}(p,t)] + W(p)\psi_{r-\rightarrow}(p,0)}\phi_{\text{par}}(p)
\]  
\[ (3.26) \]

\[
\phi_{\text{par}}'(k) = \sum_{p=k+1}^{P} \left[ \frac{2\text{Surf}_{\text{par}}^*(p)\Delta z(k)\psi_{w-\rightarrow}(p,k)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[2\Delta z(t)\psi_{w-\rightarrow}(p,t)] + W(p)\psi_{r-\rightarrow}(p,0)} \phi_{\text{par}}(p) \right] + \text{Surf}_{\text{par}}^*(0)\phi_{\text{par}}(0)
\]  
\[ (3.27) \]

\[
W(p) = \frac{\text{Surf}_{\text{par}}^*(p)S_{\text{tot}}^{0.5}}{2\text{Surf}_{\text{par}}(p)}
\]  
\[ (3.28) \]

\[
\psi_{r-\rightarrow}(p,k = 0) = \left[ \left( \frac{z(p)}{W(p)} \right)^2 + 1 \right]^{0.5} - \frac{z(p)}{W(p)}
\]  
\[ (3.29) \]

\[
\psi_{r-\rightarrow}(p,k) = \left\{ \left( \frac{z(p) - z(k)}{W(p)} \right) + 1 - \left[ \left( \frac{z(p) - z(k)}{W(p)} \right)^2 + 1 \right]^{0.5} \right\} - \left( \frac{z(p) - z(k)}{W(p)} \right)^{-1}
\]  
\[ (3.30) \]

\[ 3.3 \text{ Model configuration} \]

The coupling of DA with SM2-U (3D), is tested in the Tehran basin, during 2-8 December 2005 (three episodes as long as three days with one day overlap). The five nested computational domains use 81-, 27-, 9-, 3-, and 1-km horizontal grid spacing (see Figure 3.5). The standard version of MM5 was run in a one-way nested configuration for three outer domains by applying multiscale four-dimensional data assimilation (FDDA) as in Stauffer and Seaman (1994). FDDA is not used in the 3 and 1-km domains so as to
enable us to evaluate independently the influence of the UCP and also in order to avoid a significant loss in the contribution of diurnal variations in wind that can be important in quality of simulated local wind circulations at these fine resolutions.

For all three outer domains, the vertical resolution consists of 30 (terrain-following “sigma”) layers (about 12 layers in the PBL with a lowest-layer depth of 20 m) and physics options appropriate for each resolution.

DA-SM2-U is used only on the 3 and 1-km inner domains. To compare DA-SM2-U with RA MM5 versions, other simulations using RA are performed: a ‘standard’ version of MM5 (hereafter, RA-SLAB) and other one, DA-SM2-U parameterization with switching off anthropogenic heat flux. These three MM5 configurations use the GSPBL model including the parameterization of the TLS of Bougeault and Lacarrère (1989) inside the PBL (described in sub-section 3.2.1.5).
For the two inner domains, the vertical resolution consists of 50 layers (12 layers were added in the lowest 100 m with lowest layer depth of 4 m), and the anthropogenic heat fluxes are considered as in DA-SM2-U to focus to the thermodynamic comparisons on the soil model parameterizations. Table 3.2 shows the model configuration for these two inner domains.

In RA-SLAB (standard version), there is a single urban category defined from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 24-category database. By comparing Tehran GIS landuse data and urban landuse defined by WRF preprocessing system (WPS) and terrain module of MM5 model, we considered a bigger area for Tehran than the terrain module urban landuse. For DA-SM2-U parameterization, six urban sub-categories have been roughly constructed to cover the urban area following our simple classification, as represented in Figure 3.6. Each of these urban sub-categories is characterized by the surface density of buildings and buildings mean height (see Table 3.3). All physical properties of artificial surfaces are the same in each urban sub-category: buildings are represented with a) clay blocks wall—one way concrete block roof (60%), b) old brick wall - brick arch roof (40%), and with a horizontal section equal to 100 m², and paved surfaces are made with asphalt. For DA-SM2-U, the vertical distributions of buildings and vegetation have been constructed following their average height and the shaped profiles indicated in Figure 3.7. A mean vegetation height has been assigned for each of the USGS categories. It is assumed that the vertical distribution of the street canyon tops is identical to the roof vertical distribution, i.e., $\text{Surf}_{\text{pav}}^* = \text{Surf}_{\text{bui}}^*$. The building plan and frontal area density, respectively $A_{\text{pav}}$ and $A_{\text{bui}}$, are deduced from the roof area density by considering that the roof area is equal to the building section area, which is assumed to be vertically constant.

The main differences of the parameterization of DA-SM2-U adapted in this work with the parameterization modified and evaluated in Dupont et al., (2004) work are the method used in order to present spatial and temporal distribution of anthropogenic heat flux (see Chapter 2) to the model. In recent work, anthropogenic heat flux is introduced into the model directly from heat emission database in order to generate 4D anthropogenic heat flux distributions. In primary modifications anthropogenic heat flux was presented by fixed values for each urban subcategory.
Table 3.2: a) Model configuration for domains 1,2 and 3, b) different configurations in domain 4 and 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Options</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Details/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain 1 / Domain 2 / Domain 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid dimension</td>
<td>80×100 / 109×121 / 130×130 (in Y × X direction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal grid mesh</td>
<td>81 / 27 / 9 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical grid mesh</td>
<td>30 layers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model top</td>
<td>100 kPa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid interaction</td>
<td>One-way interaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initialization</td>
<td>NCEP Final Analyses (FNL) / LittleR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulus scheme</td>
<td>Grell (Grell et al., 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit moisture scheme</td>
<td>Mixed-Phase microphysics</td>
<td>(Reisner et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBL scheme</td>
<td>MRF PBL</td>
<td>(Hong and Pan, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave radiation</td>
<td>Dudhia Scheme (Dudhia, 1989)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longwave radiation</td>
<td>Rapid-Radiation Transfer Model</td>
<td>(Mlawer et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landuse and vegetation data</td>
<td>USGS 24 Category Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface scheme soil model</td>
<td>Noah Land-Surface Model</td>
<td>(Chen and Dudhia, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow convection</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface temperature</td>
<td>Do not update SST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal roughness</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow cover effects</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D data assimilation</td>
<td>3D analysis and Surface analysis nudging (Stauffer and Seaman, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation length</td>
<td>72 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration time step</td>
<td>243 / 81 / 27 seconds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>Linux Intel P4 Cluster (16 processors)</td>
<td>Done at UMD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Science Options                        | Configuration                                                                 | Details/Comments |
|                                        | **Domain 4 / Domain 5**                                                       |                  |
| Grid dimension                         | 136×136 / 130×130 (in Y × X direction)                                       |                  |
| Horizontal grid mesh                   | 3 / 1 km                                                                     |                  |
| Vertical grid mesh                     | 50 layers                                                                    |                  |
| Initialization                         | Domain 3 / 4 output                                                          |                  |
| Boundary conditions                    | NESTDOWN module adjustment                                                   |                  |
| PBL scheme                             | Gayno-Seaman PBL RA approach                                                 | (Shafran et al., 2000) |
|                                       | Gayno-Seaman PBL DA approach                                                 | (Dupont et al., 2004) |
| Urban categories                       | 7 classes                                                                    |                  |
| Surface scheme soil model              | Force-restore                                                               | (Zhang and Anthes, 1982) |
|                                       | SM2-U(3D)                                                                    | (Dupont and Mestayer, 2006) |
| 4D data assimilation                   | None                                                                        |                  |
| Integration time step                  | 9 / 3 seconds                                                                |                  |
Table 3.3: Simple morphology classifications used for UCP parameterization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban categories</th>
<th>Mean Height (m)</th>
<th>Roof fraction of the artificial surfaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.6: Grid cells designated as urban areas (by land use) are shaded in Tehran basin. Urban area is partitioned into urban subcategories 1–6 as shown on the map. Refer to Table 3.3 for definitions of these subcategories.

Figure 3.7: Shape profiles used for describing (a) the roof area density, (b) the vegetation area density, and (c) the vegetation plan area density. $h_{\text{bul mean}}$ is the mean height of the building defined for each urban category, and $h_{\text{veg mean}}$ is the mean height of the vegetation defined for each vegetation category.
3.4 Case study simulations and results

3.4.1 Synoptic condition during episode

Clear skies and light crest-level (700 hPa) winds prevailed during the study period, allowing thermally driven winds to develop. Figure 3.8 shows the 500 hPa heights (m MSL) of model analysis (nest 2) including isotherms (°C) and wind field at 0330 LST (0000 UTC) December 5th 2005. At 500 hPa, the geopotential height gradient indicates large-scale zonal flow over Iran with a weak short-wave ridge moving eastward behind an exiting short-wave trough. At 700 hPa, relative humidities are low (<60%) and winds are light (<5 m s⁻¹) and northwesterly with little or no temperature advection.

Unfortunately, the archives of routine radiosonde meteorological upper air observations (00 and 12 UTC) in Mehrabad station have some failed sounding during 4-6 December 2005 (only 12 UTC in 5 Dec 2005 is available) and contains only meteorological data for synoptic levels.

Observed and simulated potential temperature, wind speed and wind direction profiles are shown in Figure 3.9.

![Figure 3.8: Synoptic condition at 00 UTC December 5th 2005 [500mb chart].](image_url)
Figure 3.9: vertical profiles of Potential temperature at 00 UTC (top left) and at 12 UTC (top right), wind speed at 12 UTC (down left) and wind direction (down right) at 12 UTC 5 December 2005.
3.4.2 Numerical experiments and observation data
We have selected here only simulations during the period of UTC 12:00 12/4/2005 – 12:00 12/7/2002. During this period, Tehran suffered from unhealthy air pollution condition. The first 5 hours were used as the model spin-up period and were not included in subsequent analyses.

3.4.2.1 Analyses of the Vertical Profiles inside the PBL
a) Potential air temperature
From the vertical profiles of the potential air temperature above the urban areas (Figure 3.10), it seems that DA-SM2-U simulates a neutral layer during the night up to 75 m above the urban canopy, and an unstable stratification within the urban canopy (building height and roof fraction are 22 m and 0.40 at this point respectively), however the "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" simulates a stable stratification within the urban canopy. The urban neutral layer is consistent with the observed reduction of atmosphere stability near urban surfaces (Roth, 2000). As in Martilli et al. (2002), the depth of the neutral layer is in agreement with values given by Oke (1995). Inside the urban canopy, the air is constantly warmer than the air in the upper part of the RSL as observed by Rotach (1995) in a street canyon of Zurich. The PBL height (Mixing layer depth) in DA-SM2-U is simulated larger than one simulated by "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" and showed an increasing to 550 m with "Qf: Off" and to 650 m with "Qf: On" during the day.

b) Wind
In the street canyon, the urban parameterization is able to represent the deceleration of the flow field resulting from the presence of obstacles (buildings and trees), while near the top of canopies it computes larger values (Figure 3.11). The reason for these differences arises from the fact that the urban parameterization takes into account the repartition of the drag force in the momentum equation along the vertical direction, from the ground up to roof height (Martilli, 2002). The RA approach calculates the momentum sink at the ground by calculating a friction velocity and, hence, produces a conventional log-type profile for wind speed, which does not hold in urban areas, as earlier field measurements
have shown (Rotach, 1993). By extending the momentum sink calculation, derived from the drag force that is produced by obstacles at each model layer, to the entire height of the building, the formation of a boundary layer resulting from shear with a rigid surface is shifted from the ground level (in RA approach) up to the top of the buildings (in DA approach).

Both simulations and sodar observations show a vertical structure of the flow with two layers during the nights. DA-SM2-U simulations do not show strong stratification in PBL flows that can be due to the building drag and thus more mixing in PBL. The RA approach overestimates wind speed in the first 100 m of PBL and its wind direction shows highly imprecise results (see Figure 3.12).

The thickness of the first layer of flow is about 250 and 350 m during the night and the day, respectively.

**Figure 3.10:** Vertical profiles of potential air temperature in urban point (Geophysics station: average in $2 \times 2$ km$^2$, average building height: 22 m) at 2 am (left) and 2 pm (Right) 5th December 2005, for the three simulations, "DA-SM2-U Qf: On", "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off".
Figure 3.11: As in Figure 3.10, but for the wind speed and sodar observation.

Figure 3.12: As in Figure 3.10, but for the wind direction and sodar observation.
C) TKE

The TKE profile simulated at urban location is presented in Figure 3.13. The DA-SM2-U TKE profile continues to increase above the urban canopy toward a quasi-constant value due to the stronger mixing inside the PBL during the day. In the TKE profile simulated without considering $Q_f$ during night, one TKE maximum appears, which is induced by the shear production at the top of urban canopies for DA-SM2-U simulation and by the shear production near ground for RA-SLAB simulation, and another appears, which depends on the residual mixed layer. In simulation with "DA-SM2-U Q_f: On" configuration, this TKE maximum which is much larger appears in a higher height with more thickness due to convective mixing inside canopy. This TKE maximum has also been observed in near-neutral conditions by Kastner-Klein (2001) and Rotach (1995) for modeled and real urban canopies.

Martilli et al. (2002) have also simulated the same diurnal behaviour of the TKE profiles above an urban canopy, which is in agreement with measurements. However, the maximum values of the TKE profiles seem lower than measurements made in urban canopies by Rotach (1993), Oikawa and Meng (1995) and Louka et al. (2000).

![Figure 3.13](image-url)  
*Figure 3.13: As in Figure 3.10, but for the turbulence kinetic energy.*
3.4.2.2 Surface Meteorological Fields

Figure 3.14 and 3.15 compare the 10-m wind speed, wind direction and the 2-m air temperature simulated by the three configurations with measurements in Resalat station (tower 1: T1) and in Tehransar station (tower 2: T2) during 5 December 2005. Resalat and Tehransar stations are located in west and east part of the Tehran city, respectively.

The 24-h evolution of $T_{2m}$ simulated by RA-SLAB shows underestimation of $T_{2m}$ up to 3 °C, whereas $T_{2m}$ simulated by "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is very close to observations than "Qf: Off" configuration. In the "Qf: On" case, $T_{2m}$ is overestimated by up to 0.4 °C during night, whereas underestimation of the "Qf: Off" configuration is larger. Cooling in $T_{2m}$ simulated by RA-SLAB starts around 2 pm, 1 hour earlier than that observed and simulated by "DA-SM2-U Qf: On", whereas warming in $T_{2m}$ simulated by RA-SLAB starts around 7 am, 1-2 hour later than that observed and simulated by "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" at the urban stations. Variations in simulated diurnal temperature explain some of the differences in urban warming/cooling rates among them. RA-SLAB cools and worms at higher rates compared to those observed and simulated by "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" at the urban sites in the morning and in the afternoon respectively (see Figure 3.14 and Figure 3.15). It results in a decrease of UHI intensity throughout the cooling period hours in RA-SLAB simulation. It is noticeable that the "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" simulations reveal a decrease in the diurnal temperature range in interval of 0.31-1.27 °C in Tehran urban areas with spatial mean equal to 0.57 °C for all urban points from December 2 until December 9, 2005.

The RA-SLAB simulation generally overpredicts the wind speeds (especially in Resalat station) whereas the DA-SM2-U with "Qf: On" simulates wind speeds that match the observations slightly better.

The simulated and observed 24-h evolutions of wind direction represent daily and nightly regimes. The wind direction simulated by the RA-SLAB shows shorter period for anabatic flows than observations. It means that upslope winds start later and reverse sooner in this simulation than in the observations, whereas the "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" simulates anabatic regime slightly better.
Figure 3.14: Comparison between the observed and simulated temperature at 2 m, wind speed and wind direction at 10 m in Resalat station (tower 1) during 5th December 2005.
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Figure 3.15: As in Figure 3.14, but for Tehransar station (tower 2).
Figure 3.16 shows time series of the root mean square error (RMSE) for temperature and root mean square (RMS) for vector wind difference (VWD) calculated for the five urban stations.

VWD allows for the total horizontal wind to be evaluated using a single statistic, and it is defined by Stauffer and Seaman (1990) as

\[ VWD = \left[ (U - U_o)^2 + (V - V_o)^2 \right]^{0.5} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.32)

where \( U \) and \( V \) are the horizontal wind components and the subscript \( O \) refers to the observed values.

\[ \text{Figure 3.16: Time series of RMSE for temperature (top) and VWD rms (down) for urban sites (as shown in Figure 3.1), during 5 December 2005.} \]
Several statistical measures are also computed using the observations of stations shown in Figure 3.1. Willmott (1982) suggests a variety of measures to quantify model performance (see table 3.4, that statistical parameters are provided). Figure 3.16 shows time series of RMSE for temperature and RMSE for vector wind difference (VWD) calculated only for the five urban sites for 5 December 2005. The temperature RMSE is usually lower for "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" than others, only the hourly RMSE values in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" are higher than the "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" in transitions periods. Overall, RMSE in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is below 1.52 °C. The result in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" can be attributed to the ability to simulate better the nocturnal urban heat island effects, as well as the improved maximum daytime temperatures, by specifically including urban radiation sources.

For the VWD, during nighttime hours, "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is nearly the best of the three cases. There is no clear trend in verification over day and "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is best at various hours except for two hours, similarly at night time. In fact, "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" maintains a VWD rms of less than 1.71 ms\(^{-1}\). When both fields are considered together, "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" outperforms the simulations of "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" overall for the urban sites.

Table 3.3 contains the aggregate 24-h statistics for all stations (see Figure 3.1) during 5-6 December 2005. For temperature, "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is consistently superior to "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" for all statistical categories. The mean absolute error (MAE) and RMSE are reduced in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" by 0.5–1.2 K, and the mean error (MEAN ERR) is reduced by 1.3–1.8 K. The Mean error shows that a cold bias exists for "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off", but shows a warm bias in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On". The index of agreement (IOA) is higher with "DA-SM2-U Qf: On", which suggests that it is a better model for temperature prediction. The generally high IOA for all cases results from the model’s ability to capture the diurnal temperature cycle. The improvements in the urban nocturnal temperatures (as shown in Figure 3.14 and 3.15) account for some of the statistical advantage with "DA-SM2-U Qf: On". The systematic error (SYS) and the unsystematic error (UNSYS) show that "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" greatly improves the proportion of errors as compared with "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "DA-
SLAB-U Qf: Off". Both "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" have about 65% of the error attributable to systematic error, whereas it is about 41% in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On".

As with the temperature, "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is consistently superior to "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" in all statistical categories for wind. The MEAN ERR for wind speed shows that "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" tends to overpredict and "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" tends to underpredict wind speed, whereas "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" slightly underpredicts wind speed. There is an improvement of 0.3–1.5 m s\(^{-1}\) in MAE with "DA-SM2-U Qf: On". The IOA in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is higher, and the RMSE is lower by 0.3–0.6 m s\(^{-1}\). SYS is much lower in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" than "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off". For the mean VWD, during all observations, "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" is the best of the three cases and the mean VWD is lower by 0.37–0.78 m s\(^{-1}\).

These improvements in wind speed and direction in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On", are important for simulating the transport and production of air pollutants through urban areas. When the mixing height and stability improvements are also considered for air-quality modeling at this scale, the "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" might be better than the roughness approach "RA-SLAB Qf: Off".
Table 3.4: Aggregate 24-h statistics for temperature and wind during 5-6 December 2005 for all urban sites.

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |P_i - O_i|, \quad ME = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i), \quad IOA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - \hat{O})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - \hat{O})^2}
\]

\[
Rmse = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)^2\right)^{0.5}, \quad Rmsses = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{P}_i - O_i)^2\right)^{0.5}, \quad Rmseu = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - \hat{P}_i)^2\right)^{0.5}
\]

\[
SYS = \frac{MSES}{MSE} \quad \text{and} \quad UNSYS = \frac{MSEU}{MSE}
\]

\(O_i\): observed quantity
\(P_i\): model-predicted quantity
\(N\): number of observations
\(\hat{O}\): mean of the observed variable
\(\hat{P}_i\): least squares regression that compare observed and predicted (\(\hat{P}_i = a + bO_i\))

MAE: mean absolute error
ME: mean error
IOA: index of agreement (dimensionless)
Rmse: root mean-square error
Rmsses: systematic Rmse
Rmseu: unsystematic Rmse
SYS: systematic portion of the error (fraction)
UNSYS: systematic portion of the error (fraction)

### Wind statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MAE (ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ME (ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>IOA</th>
<th>Rmse (ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Rmsses (ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Rmseu (ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>SYS</th>
<th>UNSYS</th>
<th>VWD (ms(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA-SM2-U Qf: On</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-SM2-U Qf: Off</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA-SLAB Qf: Off</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Temperature statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MAE (K)</th>
<th>ME (K)</th>
<th>IOA</th>
<th>Rmse (K)</th>
<th>Rmsses (K)</th>
<th>Rmseu (K)</th>
<th>SYS</th>
<th>UNSYS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA-SM2-U Qf: On</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA-SM2-U Qf: Off</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA-SLAB Qf: Off</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4.2.3 Meteorological Fields within and above the Canopies

The air temperature and the wind fields near the ground are particularly dependent on the structure of the urban canopies and on their parameterization, as well as on the heat fluxes emitted by the canopy elements. Just above the canopy, these meteorological fields are influenced by the heat and momentum fluxes from the canopies. Their correct simulation is critical since it is principally at this level that the pollutants arising from the canopy are dispersed toward neighboring areas. In this section, the air temperature and wind fields simulated by three configurations are analyzed at a height of 2 m above the ground surface (within the canopy), and at a height of 40 m above the ground surface (above the canopy). In order to analyze the wind and air temperature fields in the Tehran region, we focus on a part of the computational domain that includes urban area and surrounding valleys and mountains (see Figure 3.1). This area represents a region with a very complex wind regime, where an interaction between UHI circulation and mountain/valley winds exists. Although, the frequency of the appearance of the mountain/valley winds is more than 75% of the days during the year (see Figure 3.2).

It is expected that fields simulated with "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" show interaction among UHI and mountain-valley circulations better than other configurations.

3.4.2.3.1 Within the canopy

From air temperature fields, the urban canopy is warmer than the surroundings at the same elevation because of the heat released by the urban artificial surfaces and anthropogenic sources and also morphology-radiation effects (see chapter 2). Additionally, the small ventilation inside the urban canopies accentuates the difference of temperature between the air inside the canopies and above the open areas by decreasing the renewal of air. The air temperature decreases rapidly above the open areas whereas the air remains warm within the canopies, as observed during the night.

Figure 3.17 and Figure 3.18 represent the simulated air temperature fields at 2 m above the ground in the Resalat and Tehransar stations at 4 am and 4 pm on December 5th, 2005, respectively. The air temperature simulated by "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" at 2 m AGL shows the greatest canopy layer UHI intensity up to 8 °C at night. This intensity was in the range.
of 1° to 3°C with this configuration during the day. The "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" simulated canopy layer UHI intensity is up to 4 °C at night and in the range of 1° to 2°C during the day. The "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" is not capable to simulate the canopy layer UHI intensity correctly, because of over-predictions of upslope and downslope flows and their temperature advections.

Figure 3.19 and Figure 3.20 present the simulated wind fields at 2 m above the ground in Tehransar and Resalat stations at 4 am and 4 pm on December 5th, 2005, respectively. The results demonstrate the model’s ability to simulate the interaction between UHI and mountain-valley flows in this area. The wind field simulations by the RA-SLAB approach show that, in the main part of the city katabatic winds are dominated with one NW-SE wind front in southwest of city during the night. This approach represents anabatic winds during the day with a similar wind front between local wind and synoptic regime. As discussed above, wind speeds simulated with this configuration are overpredicted and show the same regime over the entire city in contradiction observations. The "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" simulates katabatic and anabatic winds with lower speed and this flows cannot dominate the entire city. The building drag can be one of reasons for decreasing the topographic flow intensity in Tehran area by this simulation, because the north Tehran area are introduced with higher and medium density of building canopies. The interaction between topographic flows and flows forced by UHI is more complex than obtained with the RA-SLAB results, especially during night. The wind field simulations with the "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" approach, show that only the suburban part of city is dominated by topographic flows whereas the center and south of city are more affected by UHI forcing during night. It means that flows in low elevations are dominated by UHI thermal forcing. Furthermore, during the night period, the combination of katabatic winds with the UHI is conjoined by upslope flow from the south which causes an amplified UHI in this simulation. It means that cold advection induced by katabatic winds and upslope flow from the other side generates a strong UHI during the night. This convergence induced by combination of locals and non local regimes is evident in wind fields simulated by "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" approach during night (see Figure 3.19).
In the "RA-SLAB Q:\ Off" simulation, the cold advection induced by topographic flows shows an important role in control of temperature felids in this basin and how conflict with the UHI forming and observations. During the night, all simulations show one horizontal temperature gradient in southwest of city that it relates to the front between two different wind regimes (local and non-local).

### 3.4.2.3.2 Above the canopy

Figure 3.21 and Figure 3.22 present the air temperature fields at 40 m above the ground in Tehran region at 4 am and 4 pm on December 5th, 2005, respectively. The nocturnal air is warmer for the DA-SM2-U configuration above the city, whereas it can be warmer in some area where convergence occurs in lower levels in city.

The UHI intensities are up to 4, 2 and 0.3 °C in simulations conducted with "DA-SM2-U Q:\ On", "DA-SM2-U Q:\ Off" and RA-SLAB approaches, respectively, during night. They show lower values than within canopy UHI intensities. The UHI intensities decrease to 1, 0.5 and 0 °C, respectively, during the day. The presence of a small heat island during the day is in agreement with previous observations over many cities (Oke, 1978).

Figure 3.23 and Figure 3.24 represent the wind fields at 40 m above in the ground in the Tehran region at 4 am and 4 pm on December 5th, 2005, respectively. The wind fields simulated for this level are similar with inside canopy but show stronger winds. During the night, the phenomena of flowing southly countary breeze in south of Tehran can be important, because we have some stationary emission sources such as the Tehran refinery and main Tehran power plant in this area and it can cause the significant air pollution advection form these sources to the city. The pollutant advected in such conditions can be trapped by the flow recirculation inside the area induced by the UHI.
Figure 3.17: Temperature field simulated by "RA-SLAB Qc: Off" (top), "DA-SM2-U Qc: Off" (middle) and "DA-SM2-U Qc: On" (bottom) at 2 m AGL at 4 am December 5th 2005.
Figure 3.18: As in Figure 3.14, but in 4pm.
Figure 3.19: Wind vector simulated by "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" (top), "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" (middle) and "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" (bottom) at 2 m AGL at 4 am December 5th 2005.
Figure 3.20: As in Figure 3.16, but in 4pm.
Figure 3.21: Temperature field simulated by "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" (top), "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" (middle) and "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" (bottom) at 40 m AGL at 4 am December 5th 2005.
Figure 3.22: As in Figure 3.18, but in 4pm.
Figure 3.23: Wind vector simulated by "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" (top), "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" (middle) and "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" (bottom) at 40 m AGL at 4 am December 5th 2005.
Figure 3.24: As in Figure 3.20, but in 4pm.
3.4.2.4 Analyses of the PBL height

Spatial variation of the PBL height and structure occurs as a result of changes in landuse and topography of the underlying surface. In general, larger surface sensible heat fluxes will lead to a deeper PBL; however, boundary layer characteristics arising from one land cover type can be advected over a nearby region with differing surface characteristics (see Arya 1988; Garratt 1990; Mahrt et al. 1994). The PBL heights over complex topography are of particular importance for a good prediction of the dispersion of air pollutants, because the PBL height is determining the volume available for pollutants to dispersion (Seibert et al., 2000).

Figure 3.25 shows cross section of calculated PBL heights in the south–north direction in Tehran center with different configurations at 4 pm December 5th 2005. The PBL heights in the urban core simulated with "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" configuration are generally 200–300 m higher than those with "RA-SLAB Qf: Off". This result can be explained by the higher surface heat fluxes, air temperature that forcing convergence in "DA-SM2-U Qf: On". In addition, this configuration explicitly enhances the TKE in the urban canopy, in particular at the rooftop level, which results in additional mixing in the URSL and a deeper PBL than that from the "RA-SLAB Qf: Off". The PBL heights of "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" and "Qf: Off" show close values in south of city that can be explained by advection progresses from south to this area.

Figure 3.26 shows the evolution of the PBL height at the city center (the same point as for the profiles shown above). The PBL height is consistently greater with the "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" configuration with maxima near 698 m between 2pm and 4pm. In this case, the PBL height collapses later and shows a secondary peak at 7 pm with 410 m. In "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" case, the PBL reaches a maxima near 521 at 1 pm and rapidly collapses after 4 pm to 160 m.
3.4.2.5 Analyses of local circulations

A vertical cross section along the line A-A (see Figure 3.1 for location) shows the difference fields of potential temperature, vertical velocity and wind vectors in the plane of the cross section (Figure 3.27 and 3.28). The warm anomaly over the urban areas is evident, along with enhanced southerly flow aloft. There is also a cold anomaly near the Alborz Range, associated with lower values of sensible heat flux, a result of local surface energy balance differences.

As in Figure 3.27, the "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" simulates katabatic winds stronger than other configurations and show more stability inside PBL. The local front between this regime and non local regime is evident in the left of the plane in cross section. The "DA-SM2-U
Qf: Off" simulates katabatic winds, but those are lighter and they do not dominate the entire city. The local front is evident in the left of the plane similar to the "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" configuration. The simulated fields by "DA-SM2-U Qf: On", show interaction of UHI and mountain-valley flows better than other configurations, because this configuration considers urban effects much better than RA-SLAB. The katabatic winds obtained with this configuration do not enter the urban core because of the UHI forcing and a secondary front appears between upslope flow forcing by UHI and non local wind with katabatic flows. The combination of the katabatic winds from north and the upslope flow from south (Which is accompanied with a significant subsidence) with the UHI are conjoined which causes an amplified UHI in this simulation.

However, during the day (see Figure 3.28), anabatic winds dominate the city. The "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" simulates this regime strong and continues with thickness about 300 m. The "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" simulates anabatic wind but they are made lighter and they do not including any sharp front. In the "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" simulations, stronger fronts appear, the left one is located between non-local winds with flow forcing by UHI and the right one is located between regime that depends on UHI forcing and anabatic regime from suburban areas.

During the day, the wind fields simulated by RA-SLAB showed subsidence in higher altitudes of the city area and near the ground in south rural part of Tehran. The DA-SM2-U wind fields show some subsidence including wave structure in lower altitudes in the city area and near ground in the south sub-urban part of Tehran.
Figure 3.27: Cross section along A-A of potential temperature, vertical velocity in color shading, and wind vectors simulated by "RA-SLAB $Q_c$: Off" (top), "DA-SM2-U $Q_c$: Off" (middle) and "DA-SM2-U $Q_c$: On" (bottom) at 4 am December 5th 2005.
Figure 3.28: As in Figure 3.27, but in 4pm.
3.5 Conclusions

Sensitivity runs using the MM5 in a mountainous, semi-arid environment have identified urban surface characteristics and its dynamic and thermodynamic effects could be very important in simulation of local PBL structure and wind regimes.

The analysis of the dynamic, turbulent, and thermodynamic vertical profiles inside the urban RSL has shown a realistic behavior of "DA-SM2-U Qr: On", consistent with observed data in urban. The vertical profiles of the potential air temperature in urban areas have shown that simulations using "DA-SM2-U Qr: On" reduce the tendency toward stable stratification. They even yield a neutral layer during the night because of the anthropogenic heat fluxes and also the heat released by urban surfaces.

Within the canopies, the DA-SM2-U meteorological fields seem well simulated following the canopy morphology: decrease of the wind speed inside the dense canopies, skirting of the flow around the canopy blocks, warmer air inside the urban canopy especially during the night. By comparison with measurements, the surface air temperatures simulated with "DA-SM2-U Qr: On" have been improved throughout the day for urban stations. However, "DA-SM2-U Qr: On" slightly overestimates the air temperature during night that a large part of this overestimation being due to the anthropogenic heat fluxes, which are probably overestimated. Other reason for this overestimation can be from observations that are not in canopy and vicinity of anthropogenic heat sources.

Tehran PBL structure is extremely under effect of topographic and heat island flows and show a multi layer structure. Urban Roughness and also its thermodynamics effects induce convergence and complex local circulations in this region. These local circulations could not be simulated well if model do not have capability to take into account urban forcing. The DA-SM2-U meteorological fields show that urban area have a significant forcing in thermal driving regimes in this area. We recognize that strong conclusions about interaction between local thermal diving's flows cannot be drawn from a single case study.

The results indicate that roughness approach (RA) is unsatisfactory and using a detail UCP may have significant improvements for meteorological modeling at neighborhood scales in this area.
In particular, the DA-SM2-U tends to improve simulations of temperature, wind speed, wind direction, and PBL height in and downstream of urban areas, all of which affect air-quality modeling.
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CHAPTER FOUR

Sensitivity and Improvements of Tehran Air Quality Calculations, using different Meteorological Inputs

4.1 Introduction

Chemical transport modeling is widely used to estimate pollutant concentrations at different scales and it is recognized as an important tool in many applications such as air quality management, forecasting, evaluation of health effects and vegetation damage, and investigations of climate change. In all these applications, satisfactory precision in the modeled spatial and temporal distributions of pollutant concentrations is crucial.

Sources of error in chemical-transport modeling processes may be grouped in three main categories, (1) emissions, (2) transport (including deposition) and (3) chemistry (including phase change). At the beginning of this study the emission database over the Tehran area was improved (see chapter 2) in order to obtain better performance of air pollution modelling in this area. The errors in transport processes originate in part from uncertainty in the meteorological input fields as well as numerical approximations in the chemical transport model (CTM).

Meteorological fields are very important inputs to CTM: wind, mixing height, eddy diffusivity, radiation, temperature, precipitation, etc. (especially in the PBL) determine how pollutants are dispersed and transported over long distances. The meteorological fields also affect the chemical transformation, the production of secondary species and therefore surface concentrations. Among the various error sources affecting CTMs, meteorological input fields are recognized as a main source of uncertainty in air pollution
modelling. This uncertainty has to be minimized in complex cases such as urban areas (the regions with the larger emissions) affected by local effects such as sea breeze, topographic flows and urban heat island circulations.

Mega-cities have strong effects on the local meteorology and structure of the Planetary Boundary Layer (Bornstein et al., 1993, Oke, 1995, Saitoh et al., 1996, Martilli, 2002). In the case of Tehran, additional complexity is induced by the mountain-valley and its interaction with the urban induced flows over the area of interest. It means that the meteorological model should be capable of simulating correctly the urban effects as well as the other local effects and their interactions. In this context, meteorological simulations with detailed approaches suitable for an urban area were used and evaluated for the Tehran area (see chapter 3).

The aim of the present work is to evaluate, by means of a CTM, the effect of different approaches for modeling the transport and dispersion of pollutants in urban area, on the spatial and temporal distribution of pollutant concentrations.

Tehran is faced with air quality problems that affect more people than any other environmental problems in this region. This condition is due to the cumulative effects of rapid population growth, high population density (8.3 million inhabitants in an area of about 670 km²), a large and old vehicle fleet (mobile sources), a large number of industrial and commercial establishments (stationary sources), geographical location (surrounded by mountains) and the ensnared condition (recirculation processes). When the synoptic forcing is small, high pollution episodes occur, especially during August, September and October.

The PBL structure with the interaction of local effects was studied during a pollution episode that occurred between December 4 and December 7 (Chapter 3). For this period, three simulations, with the mesoscale meteorological model (MM5) were performed: in the first simulation the city of Tehran was represented with the detailed urban surface exchange parameterizations, taking into account the anthropogenic heat flux (DA-SM2-U Qf: On); in the second simulation, the same urban parameterizations were used, but without considering the anthropogenic heat flux (DA-SM2-U Qf: Off); and in the third simulation, a simple urban parameterization based on a roughness approach available in the standard version of MM5 (RA-SLAB) was used.
The meteorological parameters (wind, temperature, turbulence, etc.) calculated by the three simulations are used here as input to a CTM, which computes dispersion, chemical reactions and depositions of air pollutants. By comparing CTM results obtained with these three different sets of meteorological inputs against measurements, we can evaluate the effect of various meteorological model parameterization, in particular the use of a detailed urban parameterization (DA-SM2-U) versus a simpler formulation (RA-SLAB). In the present chapter, after a short description of the chemical transport model and its configuration, results of simulations are analyzed and compared with observations. Then, a sensitivity analysis of CTM simulations with respect to the meteorological inputs is conducted.

4.2 Model and methods

The air quality modeling system MM5-POLYPHEMUS system was implemented and applied here to the Tehran area. MM5 and POLYPHEMUS are, respectively, the meteorological mesoscale model and the modeling platform that includes the Chemical-Transport Model POLAIR3D.

POLYPHEMUS is a modeling system that has been developed for air quality forecasts and impact assessment of new emission sources on emission reduction strategies. It has been designed as a modular system being able to host different model configurations and it is well-suited for ensemble modeling (Mallet and Sportisse, 2006a). A key focus of POLYPHEMUS is devoted to the model sensitivity with respect to emissions (Mallet and Sportisse, 2005). Many topics related to uncertainties have already been investigated by POLYPHEMUS (Mallet and Sportisse, 2006b). It has already been applied to gas-phase and aerosol modeling, through POLAIR3D over Europe, Greater Paris and East Asia respectively (Sartelet et al., 2007; Tombette and Sportisse, 2007; Sartelet et al., 2008).

The POLYPHEMUS platform contains four subsets:

- Physical parameterizations and pre-processing of input fields (e.g., ground fields, meteorological fields, boundary-initial conditions and emissions) are performed within the ATMO DATA library.
• Drivers have been defined in order to handle high-level use of the models, which can be viewed as black boxes, e.g., a driver for Monte Carlo simulations, a driver for ensemble forecast.

• Models (for instance the Chemiscal-Transport Model P\textsc{ola}ir\textsc{3d}) constitute the third level of the system. Short-range models (Gaussian puff and plume models) are also available.

• Postprocessing tools, especially the Python module \textsc{AtmoPy}, are also available. This library (which does not depend on the previous components) performs model-to-data comparisons.

- Domains
In this study, simulations with \textsc{Pola}ir\textsc{3d} are performed over 2 nested domains (Figure 4.1). The coarse domain covers Tehran province and the second one covers Tehran city with horizontal grid resolutions of 6 km × 6 km (0.066° × 0.054° in longitude/latitude) and 2 km × 2 km (0.022° × 0.018° in longitude/latitude), respectively. The coarse domain size includes 61×47 grid points and the second domain includes 60×61 grid point (in longitude/latitude direction). The coarse domain has 9 vertical levels (grid center points from 15 m to 2525 m) and the second domain has 12 levels (grid center points from 10 m to 2400 m).

- Land-use coverage and roughness
For the land use cover, two choices are available: the Global Land Cover Facility (GLCF) dataset (14 categories) or the USGS (United States Geological Survey) land cover map (24 categories). We need to convert these land use cover data to another set such as Wesely or Zhang land use cover categories (Wesely, 1989; Zhang et al. 2001) in order to compute deposition velocities.

In this study, the USGS dataset is used for land use cover and it is converted to the Zhang categories to compute deposition velocities. The land use cover computed by USGS dataset is used to estimate the roughness distribution.
Meteorological data

In order to prepare meteorological data for the POLAIR3D simulations, one can use ECMWF (European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecasts) reanalysis or MM5 model output.
To get the complete set of input meteorological data for a the CTM, one must generate parameters such as pressure, temperature, skin temperature, wind field, Richardson number, boundary layer height, vertical diffusion coefficients, specific humidity, liquid water content, cloud attenuation coefficients, solar radiation intensity, rain intensity, convective rain intensity, cloud height, etc.

The meteorological input data were obtained with three different MM5 simulations. As describe above, in these MM5 simulations the city of Tehran is represented with different approaches in this model. In the CTM POLAIR3D, the Troen–Mahrt’s parameterization (Troen and Mahrt, 1986) and the Louis’s parameterization (Louis, 1979) are used to calculate the vertical diffusion coefficient within and above the PBL, respectively.

- **Deposition and scavenging**

For gaseous species, dry deposition velocities are calculated by using the parameterization of Zhang et al. (2003) and below-cloud scavenging (washout) is parameterized following Sportisse and Dubois (2002).

For aerosols, dry deposition is computed by a resistance approach, following Zhang et al. (2001) and below cloud scavenging (washout) and in-cloud scavenging (rainout) are parameterized as presented by Sartelet et al. (2007).

- **Emissions**

The anthropogenic emission module processes the selected emission inventory and generates surface and volume anthropogenic emissions according to the vertical distribution, temporal profiles for each emission sector or SNAP (Selected Nomenclature for Air Pollution) category. In this work, anthropogenic emissions are generated with the Tehran inventory dataset that was updated for 2005 (see chapter 2). This inventory includes emissions for 10 different SNAP for NOx, SO2, CO, non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC), PM10 and CH4. The NMVOC are speciated following the speciation of the Institute für Energiewirtschaft und Rationelle (IER), Stuttgart and then allocated to model species of the chemical kinetic mechanism RACM. PM10 are distributed among size bins. We use the chemical speciation following Tombette and Sportisse (2007). The primary PM emission is partitioned into three model species
(primary organic, black carbon and mineral dust) and the aerosol size spectrum is divided into 10 bins in the range 0.01 µm–10 µm.

The biogenic emission module computes biogenic emissions on the basis of meteorological fields and land use cover according to Simpson et al. (1999).

- **Initial and boundary conditions**

Preprocessing modules interpolate and generate initial and boundary conditions from available continental or global simulations such as the global model Mozart (Horowitz et al., 2003), the Goddard Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport (GOCART, Chin et al., 2000) model, the global model LMDz-INCA (Hauglustaine et al., 2004) for aerosols and gases.

In this study, in order to generate initial and boundary conditions for the coarse domain run, output concentrations from Mozart 2 (Horowitz et al., 2003) are used for gas and LMDz-INCA model outputs are used for aerosol components. For the inner domain, initial and boundary conditions are interpolated from the Polair3D simulation conducted for coarse domain (see Figure 4.1).

- **Gas-phase chemistry mechanism**

POLYPHEMUS contains several chemistry modules, such as RACM (Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism) and RADM (Regional Acid Deposition Model). The chemical mechanism chosen for this simulation is the RACM (Stockwell et al., 1997). Photolysis rates are computed off-line, as done in the photolysis rate preprocessor JPROC of CMAQ (Roselle et al., 1999).

- **Aerosol model**

Two aerosol models are available in POLYPHEMUS: MAM (a Modal Aerosol Model, Sartelet et al., 2005) and SIREAM (a SIze REsolved Aerosol Model, Debry et al., 2007). Both models rely on the same parameterizations hosted by the ATMDATA library (Sportisse et al., 2006).

The SIREAM model is used for this study. In this model, thermodynamic equilibria between the gas and particular phases are simulated for inorganics (ISORROPIA v1.7;
Nenes et al., 1998) and organics (SORGAM; Schell et al., 2001), separately. Brownian coagulation is taken into account (see Debry and Sportisse, 2006b). For nucleation, binary (H\textsubscript{2}O–H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, Vehkämäki et al., 2002) or ternary (H\textsubscript{2}O–H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}–NH\textsubscript{3}, Napari et al., 2002) nucleation can be simulated. The nucleated particles are assigned to the first bin of the size discretization. Detailed cloud microphysics is not solved and is replaced by a model based on the variable size-resolved model (VSRM, Fahey and Pandis, 2001). Above a threshold in liquid water (typically 0.5 g m\textsuperscript{-3}), cloud condensation occurs and aqueous-phase chemistry is simulated for activated aerosols (above a fixed diameter d\textsubscript{activ} = 0.7 μm). Removal of gases and particles by in-cloud scavenging is then simulated for 28 aqueous-phase and 18 gas-phase species. Moreover, the pH of cloud droplets is computed and used for a better description of the below-cloud scavenging. Following (Jacob, 2000), four heterogeneous reactions at the surface of aerosols and cloud droplets are simulated (see Tombette and Sportisse, 2007).

- Numerical approaches

The numerical simulation of the aerosol general dynamic equation (GDE) (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998) is still a challenging issue (Seigneur, 2001 for instance) and special attention must be paid to numerical algorithms.

A first-order splitting method is used with the following sequence: advection, diffusion (including dry deposition and surface emissions as boundary conditions), scavenging, gas-phase chemistry and aerosol dynamics. For the aerosol module, the sequence is: coagulation and then condensation and nucleation. In our simulations, nucleation is not taken into account because particles of diameters lower than 0.01 μm are not modeled. However, condensation evaporation and coagulation are solved.

In a size-resolved model such as SIREAM, the particle size spectrum is divided into a fixed number of sections (or bins) and sections are logarithmically distributed in the model.

Several approaches can be used for the computation of condensation/evaporation. The bulk equilibrium approach is the simplest one; it considers that mass transfer between the gas and particle phases is instantaneous and, that partitioning between both phases is determined by thermodynamic equilibrium. The dynamic approach is the most accurate
approach; it uses kinetic mass transfer between the gas and particles phases in addition to thermodynamic equilibrium at the particle surface. Usually, the timescales of mass transfer is considered to depend on particle size and small particles are assumed to reach equilibrium more rapidly than coarse ones. Therefore, a hybrid approach, between the bulk-equilibrium and the dynamic approaches is used. It consists in defining a cut-off diameter below which we apply the equilibrium approach and above which we apply the dynamic approach. The cut-off diameter is generally taken around 1 µm (Koo et al., 2003; Debry and Sportisse, 2006a). In our simulations, condensation is solved with the hybrid approach and the cut-off diameter is taken equal to 1.26 µm.

In order to minimize numerical diffusion, a semi-Lagrangian method is used in SIREAM for condensation evaporation. Each bin grows due to condensation and it is thereafter redistributed on the fixed grid before the transport. In this study, we used a redistribution method that ensures both mass and number conservations by solving a simple linear system (Tombette and Sportisse, 2007).

The ETR scheme is used for solving the dynamic condensation/evaporation of particles with diameters lower than 1.26 µm.

The SIREAM configurations in our simulations are similar to the simulation in Tombette and Sportisse (2007). For all three simulations, the configurations are the same. The main configurations are listed in Table 4.1 for both domains.

### 4.3 Case study simulations and results

The objective of this work is to study the sensitivity of gas-phase and aerosol species concentrations to meteorological inputs during a high pollution episode in a complex terrain. For the selected period, three simulations were performed for the inner domain: in the first simulation (called hereafter Run1), the meteorology was obtained from a MM5 run with the configuration DA-SM2-U Qf: On; in the second simulation (called hereafter Run2), the meteorology was obtained from a MM5 run with the configuration DA-SM2-U Qf: Off; and in the third simulation (called hereafter Run3), the meteorology was obtained from a MM5 run with the configuration RA-SLAB Qf: Off. Meteorological inputs for the coarse and inner domains are presented in Table 4.2.
Table 4.1: Model configuration for coarse / inner domains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Options</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Details/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meteorological fields</td>
<td>MM5 output: RA-SLAB / RA-SLAB, DA-SM2-U</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>land use coverage</td>
<td>Zhang categories</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Grid Mesh</td>
<td>6 / 2 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid dimension</td>
<td>61 × 47 / 61 × 60 (in longitude × latitude direction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Grid Mesh</td>
<td>9 / 11 layers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model top</td>
<td>2800 / 2700 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas initial and Boundary condition</td>
<td>The global models Mozart / Coarse domain</td>
<td>(Horowitz et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol initial and Boundary condition</td>
<td>The global models LMDz-INCA / Coarse domain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gases dry deposition velocities</td>
<td>Zhang parameterization</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol dry deposition velocities</td>
<td>Zhang parameterization</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas-phase chemistry</td>
<td>Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM)</td>
<td>(Stockwell et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol size spectrum</td>
<td>0.0100, 0.0200, 0.0398, 0.0794, 0.1585, 0.3162, 0.6310, 1.2589, 2.5119, 5.0119, 10.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation</td>
<td>On / On</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation</td>
<td>On / On</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelvin effect</td>
<td>Yes / Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-off diameter for the dynamic resolution of condensation</td>
<td>1.2589 µm</td>
<td>(Koo et al., 2003; Debry and Sportisse, 2006a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermodynamic module for Bulk equilibrium</td>
<td>Isorropia / Isorropia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic bin condensation solver</td>
<td>etr / etr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleation</td>
<td>Off / Off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heterogeneous reaction</td>
<td>Yes / Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration Time Step</td>
<td>180 / 60 seconds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>Linux Intel Core 2 (4 processors)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to evaluate the impact of the urban parameterizations used in the meteorological simulations on the CTM modeling in Tehran region, we conduct a preliminary evaluation with a model-to-data comparison for the episode between 2005.12.05 to 2005.12.09.

Table 4.2: Meteorological inputs for each domain during simulations (solid line: RA-SLAB Qf: Off).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse domain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner domain</td>
<td>Run1</td>
<td></td>
<td>DA-SM2-U Qf: On</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Run2</td>
<td>DA-SM2-U Qf: On</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Run3</td>
<td>DA-SM2-U Qf: Off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aghdasiyeh (AGH) and Fatemi (FAT) stations are selected among eight active stations in the study period for comparing diurnal-daily variations of pollutant concentrations, due to
their location and the availability for continuous data. The time series of observed and simulated CO, NO₂, PM₁₀ and O₃ at Aghdasiyeh and Fatemi stations are given in Figure 4.2. Unfortunately, O₃ observations are available only for Pardisan (PAR) and Mehrabad stations, which are located inside the urban area where O₃ concentrations are low due to rapid titration by NO emissions. Observations at suburban and rural sites located inside urban plume would have been preferable for O₃ validation. Figure 4.3 shows time series of observed and simulated O₃ at Pardisan station. The low O₃ concentration results from the urban location as well as the wintertime conditions.

The values of observed concentrations (except for O₃) show that the level of pollution is high during this period and daily trends of concentrations show that the 6th December is the highest polluted day during this period. Simulated concentrations also show this pollution peak on the 6th December and a good correlation with observations, except for Run 3. The simulated diurnal concentrations show morning and afternoon peaks that are close to observed diurnal peaks for all pollutants in Run 1 and Run 2. The model lead to underestimation around midday especially in downtown for CO and PM₁₀ (such as FAT station, see Figure 4.2) because of several reasons. First, the stations are near traffic sources and inside urban street canyons, which can trap pollutants; second, the model grid size for the inner domain is around 2 km and results are averaged over 4 km² area; third, the model always simulated more mixing during the day than suggested by observations; fourth, the model does not account for buildings explicitly, which means that emissions are dispersed in a bigger volume than the real volume of the urban canopies because part of this volume is actually occupied by buildings.
Figure 4.2: Observed and simulated time series of CO, NO2, PM$_{10}$ and O$_3$ concentrations at Fatemi (left) and Aghdasiyeh (right) stations from 05/12/2005-00 until 09/12/2005-00 local time.
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Figure 4.3: Observed and simulated time series of O3 concentrations at Pardisan station.

Run 3 (RA-SLAB Qf: Off) usually overestimates the morning peak concentrations (which depend on the morning traffic peak) and also predicts that peaks sooner than observations especially in downtown (such as FAT station, see Figure 4.2). This discrepancy may be due to more PBL stability, predicted in the morning with meteorological inputs from the RA-SLAB configuration. This phenomenon occurs for the evening peaks (depending on evening traffic peak) as well.

On the 9th December, Run 3 overestimates pollutant concentrations because with the "RA-SLAB Qf: Off" option, MM5 predicts low-wind speed regime (anabatic wind) and, as a result, transport from downtown to north east is underestimated. However Run 1 shows better results. When the wind speed is low, the PBL stability becomes more important than advective transport for pollutant dispersion. The PBL stability is lower with the option "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" than "DA-SM2-U Qf: Off" and "RA-SLAB Qf: Off", because it takes into account anthropogenic heat flux. The wind speed and direction are important for transport, which depends on interactions between local forcings in situations of low synoptical forcings, that "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" showed better results (see Chapter 3).

As shown in Figure 4.2 and Figure 4.3, the level of O3 is low during this episode at urban locations, which is normal for these dates and locations.

The scatter plots of observed and simulated concentrations of CO, NO2 and PM10 at Aghdasiyeh and Fatemi stations are given in Figure 4.4. Simulated concentrations by Run 1 and Run 2 show better correlations than Run 3. However, for high observed values, the all runs show some underestimations, as discussed above; especially around midday.
Run 3 is more scattered than other runs but with little overall bias; nevertheless, the scattered results obtained with this configuration are not satisfactory.

The model performance statistics were computed for each simulation and are presented in Table 4.3 for CO, NO₂, PM₁₀ and O₃. Statistical parameters are provided below.

![Scatter plots](image-1)

**Figure 4.4**: Scatter plot of observed versus simulated CO, NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations at Fatemi (circles) and Aghdasiyeh (crosses). Left, middle and right panels relate to Run 1, Run 2 and Run 3 of POLYPHEMUS simulations, respectively.
Table 4.3: Model-to-data comparison for CO, NO₂, O₃ and PM₁₀ at all observational sites.

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - S_i)^2} \quad \text{Correlation} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - \bar{O})(S_i - \bar{S})}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - \bar{O})^2} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_i - \bar{S})^2}} \\
BF = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{O_i}{S_i} \quad \text{NME} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |O_i - S_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i}
\]

Oᵢ : observed concentrations  
Sᵢ : simulated concentrations  
n : number of observations  
RMSE : root mean square error  
BF : bias factor  
NME : normalized mean error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sim. mean (mg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>RMSE (mg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>BF</th>
<th>NME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 1</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 2</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 3</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sim. mean (µg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>RMSE (µg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>BF</th>
<th>NME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>134.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 1</td>
<td>122.29</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 2</td>
<td>125.72</td>
<td>38.05</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 3</td>
<td>137.48</td>
<td>62.88</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sim. mean (µg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>RMSE (µg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>BF</th>
<th>NME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 1</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 2</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 3</td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sim. mean (µg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>RMSE (µg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>BF</th>
<th>NME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>136.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 1</td>
<td>115.83</td>
<td>50.02</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 2</td>
<td>108.23</td>
<td>59.08</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 3</td>
<td>120.06</td>
<td>74.66</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The RMSEs are significantly reduced when the model simulations take into account urban meteorological effects. Run 1 shows the lowest RMSEs, except for CO (which may due to reasons such as a low estimation of emission around midday, the absence of some stationary emissions in emission database or overprediction of mixing and unstability). The correlations are significantly better for Run 1, especially for NO₂, O₃ and PM₁₀; they are all above 60%.

The bias factors are close to one but greater than one. The bias is less than 10% bias for NO₂ and O₃. It means that the model overall underestimates the level of mean concentration values. It is probable, that this underestimation is due to the absence of some stationary emissions. Normalized mean errors are lower for all considered pollutants in Run 1 and 2 than in Run 3.

These statistics show that accounting for urban effects in meteorological simulations can be very useful for increasing the accuracy of chemical transport modeling in this region.

The spatial distribution of CO, NO₂ and PM₁₀ is presented with different meteorology in Figure 4.5 to Figure 4.10. They show the concentrations simulated by POLYPHEMUS at 10 and 120 m AGL, at 10 am and 10 pm. The chosen times (10 am, 10 pm) are about 3 hours after the traffic emission peak in the morning and evening, respectively.

Run 3 shows more transport of pollutants from downtown to north east and north west than other simulations at 10 am and lower concentration in downtown, due to the presence of a high-elevated slope in the north and the overestimation of the speed of anabatic winds, as well as an early starting time of the wind flow. The patterns of CO and PM₁₀ concentrations are similar, because both of them are primary pollutants. In comparison, Run 2 and 3 show smaller values at 120 m level due to greater vertical diffusion and recirculation processes.

At 10 pm, Run 3 shows more transport of pollutants from downtown to south east because of the overestimation of the speed of katabatic winds and earlier starting time of this regime. At 120 m level, Run 3 shows lower concentrations due to a more stable PBL and strong horizontal advection at lower levels.

These results suggest that the meteorology of the DA approach concentrate more pollutants in downtown and does not favor long-range transport, but causes more vertical diffusion.
Figure 4.5: CO concentration (µg.m⁻³) simulated by POLYPHEMUS with meteorological fields simulated by DA-SM2-U Qc: on (Run 1: top), DA-SM2-U Qc: off (Run 2: middle) and RA-SLAB (Run 3: bottom) at 10 m AGL, at 10 am (left) and 10 pm (right) December 6 2005.
Figure 4.6: As in Figure 4.5, but at 120 m AGL.
Figure 4.7: NO$_2$ concentration (µg.m$^{-3}$) simulated by POLYHEMUS with meteorological fields simulated by DA-SM2-U Qc on (Run 1: top), DA-SM2-U Qc off (Run 2: middle) and RA-SLAB (Run 3: bottom) at 10 m AGL, at 10 am (left) and 10 pm (right) December 6 2005.
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Figure 4.8: As in Figure 4.7, but at 120 m AGL.
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Figure 4.9: PM$_{10}$ concentration (µg.m$^{-3}$) simulated by POLYPHEMUS with meteorological fields simulated by DA-SM2-U Qc: on (Run 1: top), DA-SM2-U Qc: off (Run 2: middle) and RA-SLAB (Run 3: bottom) at 10 m AGL, at 10 am (left) and 10 pm (right) December 6 2005.
Figure 4.10: As in Figure 4.9, but at 120 m AGL.
Figure 4.11: \( \text{O}_3 \) concentration (µg.m\(^{-3}\)) simulated by POLYPHEMUS with meteorological fields simulated by DA-SM2-U Q; on (Run 1: top), DA-SM2-U Q; off (Run 2: middle) and RA-SLAB (Run 3: bottom) at 10 m AGL, at 12 midday December 6 2005.
The O₃ patterns at midday are presented in Figure 4.11. The O₃ peak is observed at midday. The O₃ plume is located on the north, east and south east of city. The area covered by the O₃ plume is larger in Run 3 than in Run 1 and 2, due to more transport of NO₂ and NMVOCs.

### 4.4 Conclusions

A preliminary simulation of a high-pollution episode over Tehran region including model-to-data comparisons was presented. The urban parameterization in the meteorological model strongly affects the results of the CTM model. A sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the sensitivity of the model outputs to the meteorological inputs.

The sensitivity analysis shows that in this area, meteorological simulations with detailed urban parameterization lead to improved CTM modeling.

A larger period of simulation (e.g. one year) is recommended to obtain better understanding of the sensitivities of air pollutant concentrations to meteorological inputs over various seasons and atmospheric conditions.
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CHAPTER FIVE

Conclusions
and
Perspectives

This work was devoted to the investigation of the anthropogenic air pollutant emissions, heat emission, meteorology (including urbanization effects) and air quality (including sensitivity of air quality dispersion patterns to urban meteorological parameterizations) in the Tehran region. The analysis presented was based on the study of an air pollution episode which took place in this region on 5 - 9 December 2005. These results may be considered as a starting point for a better understanding of the local terrain and urban effects on the atmosphere in this region, and they provide a new opportunity to improve air quality through a scientifically-based approach. This chapter presents the general conclusions of this thesis. Future aspects are also discussed and some directions are suggested to enter in more details in each part of this work.

The first step to act on air pollution and its mitigation consists in accurately quantifying the amounts of pollutants released to the atmosphere. An emission inventory of air pollutants and an inventory of heat generation were developed and updated for 2005 in this work using available database (chapter 2). The mobile source emission inventory was investigated in greater detail and it can be considered more satisfactory than the inventory of stationary emissions. Emissions from on-road motor vehicles are a major portion of the emission inventory and play the most important role in terms of contributions of air pollutants to the atmosphere in Tehran. The contribution of vehicles categories changes rapidly, due to replacing old cars with new cars, therefore, it was necessary to update the car fleet and corresponding emission factors; such updates will need to be conducted at least every year. For the stationary emission inventory, only emissions from larger industries, power plants and the Tehran refinery were estimated. Unfortunately, this aspect of emission inventory is
not resolved, because it has not been well studied by the responsible agencies in Tehran until recently. Another aspect that can influence the accuracy of air quality modeling in this area is the absence of a reliable continental emission inventory in order to model air quality at the continental scale. In order to improve future modeling results, we strongly suggest, that new versions of the emission inventory should cover a larger area (including at least northern Iran) and include other stationary sources, which have not yet been taken into account.

Furthermore, data assimilation techniques are recommended in order to improve and optimize the emission rates, especially at continental scale. Such an approach seems necessary, because there are some uncertainties associated with the statistics, emission factors, temporal allocation profiles, and grid allocation factors used in bottom-up emission inventories.

The inventory of heat generation in Tehran region was investigated and it found that wintertime $Q_f$ is larger than summertime $Q_f$, which reflected the importance of heating emissions from buildings and traffic during cold and warm period respectively.

The second part of this thesis addresses high resolution meteorological modeling over the region of Tehran (Chapter 3). The validation of meteorological simulations is very important in order to minimize errors originating from meteorological variables in the air quality modeling, which includes transport, diffusion and in production of secondary chemical species. Therefore, this part was investigated in a comprehensive manner.

The influence of building drag and anthropogenic heating on the meteorology of the Tehran mega-city (TMC) was particularly significant. The dominant influences on the structure of the planetary boundary layer (PBL) in these meteorological simulations in the Tehran basin were found to be the Alborz range (including valleys that enter this basin) and urbanization effects.

During weak synoptic forcing periods, the area located north and north-east of Tehran was strongly affected by mountain and valley winds, but the area west and south showed lower frequency of topographic flows. The observations generally showed weak and variable winds with complex circulation patterns and also semi-periodic oscillations during both night and day with periods typically longer than 40 minutes that could be attributed to internal waves induced by drainage flows at night and instability of the upslope flow during the day. The observed vertical profiles of temperature and wind observations showed some discontinuity originating from local
circulations. The anabatic flow was found to be thicker but with lower headwinds aloft. The morning and evening transitions were characterized by low speed and highly variable winds. Layers of different temperature and wind speed/direction characterize the vertical structure of the flow. The formation of such layers in TMC may occur due to different air masses of disparate densities originating at slopes of different orientations surrounding the air basin.

Next, the mesoscale model with different urban parameterizations, was used as a tool to investigate the modifications induced by the presence of an urban area on the PBL structure in the area of interest. It was found that the drag-force approach coupled with an urban soil model (DA-SM2-U) is preferable to the roughness approach (RA-SLAB), for local meteorological simulations. The comparisons among three configurations of the mesoscale model indicated that the most important features of the wind, temperature and turbulent fields in urban areas are well reproduced by the DA-SM2-U configuration with the anthropogenic heat flux being take into account (DA-SM2-U Qf: On). The potential air temperature vertical profiles in the urban area showed a reduction in the tendency toward stable stratification. Within the urban canopy, the DA-SM2-U meteorological fields seem well simulated and they follow the canopy morphology with a decrease of the wind speed inside the dense canopy, skirting of the flow around the canopy blocks and warmer air inside the urban canopy, especially during the night. The urban heat island patterns simulated by this configuration were found to be strong that include more forcing on topographic flows. The turbulent mixing was generally under-estimated by the RA-SLAB configuration inside the canopy because of the limitation of K-theory. The DA-SM2-U configuration is capable of generating the turbulent kinetic energy budget component, turbulent length and eddy diffusivity with a better representation in the urban canopy and roughness sub-layer. These prognostic variables for atmospheric and air quality modeling are important because they control the vertical mixing of meteorological and pollutant quantities.

A PBL depth analysis showed that the urban canopy parameterization plays a very important role in determining the boundary layer depth. In the RA-SLAB configuration, the PBL rapidly collapsed shortly after reaching its peak value, and in the urban core, it was generally 100 –300 m smaller than those simulations with the "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" configuration during night and day, respectively.
Tehran’s wind pattern is not only influenced by the physiographic of the plateau and surrounding mountains, but also by urban roughness-drag and buoyancy forces along with the topographic flows. The run with the "DA-SM2-U Q:\ On" configuration indicated that interaction between the urban heat island and the topography is complex and can play a significant role in the atmospheric circulations observed over the Tehran basin. The comparison between " DA-SM2-U Q:\ Off" and "RA-SLAB Q:\ Off" simulations in the city showed that the presence of urban canopy effects reduces the intensity of the katabatic regime. Consequently, the katabatic regime can not be dominant in whole of Tehran city. The anabatic regime of " DA-SM2-U Q:\ Off" configuration showed lower intensity too. During the day, the wind fields simulated by RA-SLAB showed subsidence in higher altitudes of the city area near the ground in south rural part of Tehran. The DA-SM2-U wind fields showed some subsidence in lower altitudes in the city area and near ground in the south sub-urban part of Tehran. During the night, wind fields simulated by the " DA-SM2-U Q:\ On" configuration, generated some heat island circulation, forming warm plumes downwind during the katabatic regime from north and synoptic winds from west and south west.

The comparisons and validation demonstrated that a new configuration of the mesoscale model used here is a clear improvement as compared to default methods generally used to represent urban surfaces in mesoscale models. Nevertheless, several points will require more attention in the future. In order to continue to improve modeling results and to develop a better understanding of the local meteorology, we strongly recommended the following points:

-Performing standard intensive meteorological field campaigns over Tehran

From these experiments, one will expect to obtain valuable information about local winds, urban heat island effects, and the turbulent structure both of the Urban Roughness Sub-layer and of the entire Planetary Boundary Layer with a more complete space and time coverage than available for this study. Such data are necessary in order to conducted future validation of urban simulations and develop improved parameterizations and model configuration.

-Detailed analyses of landuse and surface

The detailed urban parameterizations require accurate information about surface characteristics (roughness length, albedo, emissivity, thermal conductivity, volumetric
heat capacity, etc), urban and vegetation canopy morphology (height, plane area
density, frontal area density, etc). Coupling satellite images processing and local
observations can lead us to a high resolution dynamic database that would be
extremely useful for the purpose of detailed urban parameterizations.

-Performing long term simulations
The episode investigated in this study represents a common critical situation, which
can occur during the fall and winter in Tehran. A study of long term simulations can
be very useful for investigating the interaction between different forcing effects on
local meteorology as they evaluate with seasonal validations.

-Impact of land cover change
The implications of land cover change on atmospheric processes depend on the nature
of the change and the climatic context in which it occurs. Sensitivity studies, in which
the effects of various land cover scenarios on boundary layer development will be
compared, should be conducted. One anticipates that the results will show grater
urbanization and agricultural development effects in the Tehran area and due to local
terrain and mesoscale effects greater along the Tehran basin.

-Application of Building Energy Models (BEM)
The coupling of a Building Energy Model (BEM) with the Urban Canopy
parameterization (UCP) in mesoscales model is another tool that takes into account
the diffusion of heat through walls, roofs, and floors, the natural ventilation, the
generation of heat from occupants and equipments, and the consumption of energy
through air conditioning systems. BEM is able to accurately simulate the basic heat
transfer phenomena, and to reproduce the heat fluxes exchanged between buildings
and the atmosphere with more details. This approach should be compare with the one
presented here under the same condition.

-Anthropogenic heat comparison
The anthropogenic heat flux estimated from emission inventories should be compared
with values estimated from experiments. An experimental method based on the
residual term of the SEB equation (Surface energy balance) at the local scale around
measurement sites could be use to provide such observations of heat flux.
-Effect of Tehran plume on local meteorology

Exceptionally high values of aerosol optical depth can be observed during high polluted episodes in mega-cities. Radiative transfer modules that take into account aerosol particles effects on the atmospheric energy budget can be used to drive a dynamic model of the PBL. During high polluted episodes, the height of the PBL can significantly decrease due to the warming of the aerosol particles inside the PBL, which creates a stabilizing effect within the PBL. It will be interesting to evaluate, by means of a radiation transfer model, the impact of the presence of aerosol on the radiation and consequently, on the PBL evolution and the urban energy budget.

The final part of study was dedicated to high resolution chemical transport modeling (CTM) (Chapter 4). In this part, the numerical CTM was used to investigate the impact of the different urban parameterization on the dispersion of pollutants over the Tehran region. Results show that applying DA approaches lead to significant improvement in simulated spatial and temporal distribution of pollutant concentrations in the city area and on the size of the urban plumes.

The "DA-SM2-U Qf: On" configuration for meteorological inputs caused more vertical diffusion and less transport of pollutants. The RA approach for meteorological input overestimated morning peak concentrations and also predicted peak earlier than observation, especially in downtown. These results are due to more PBL stability in this meteorological simulation and more advection from the northern part of the city by a katabatic regime. This phenomenon occurred for evening peaks too. During night, recirculation processes induced by urban heat island circulation and sub-circulation caused more persistence of pollution over Tehran. As a general result, the presence of the city enhances the transport of pollutants to rural area through a reservoir layer aloft and recirculation processes.

In order to improve chemical transport modeling over Tehran, several aspects need to be improved over Tehran region. In order to improve modeling results and our understanding of air quality in Tehran, we strongly recommend the following points:

-Improvement of the air quality observation network

The air pollution monitoring system has to have a significant development, for assessing local, regional and global concentrations of ground air pollutants. Recently, the Tehran observation network was augmented with new stations (16 active stations), but the spatial distribution is not sufficient to study the validation of the interactions
between the scale of the city and the mesoscale in order to have a complete understanding of the processes governing the dispersion and transport of the primary pollutants emitted in the city, and the secondary pollutants (like ozone) formed on mesoscale. Another problem is network maintenance and data validity, because some stations are maintained by DoE (Department of the environment) team and others by AQCC (Air quality control company). This leads to difference in the observations because of the difference maintenance and also difference in technology of stations. Therefore, we recommend that a harmonization of the network be conducted with identical monitoring protocols being used at all stations.

- Improvement of emission inventory and module for generating 4D emissions

Air quality models need detailed information about emissions in the area studied. Even when a box model is applied (i.e., without considering spatial features of the emissions), the hourly time profile is required for all pollutants. In addition, information on particulate matter size spectrum and chemical composition of the emission are necessary, when dealing with PM and ozone modeling. For example, suitable speciation profiles of emitted volatile organic compounds (VOCs) is a requirement for modeling photochemical processes in the atmosphere. Therefore, information on chemical speciation and PM size fractions of selected sectors and sub-sectors in the Tehran area are essential to improve air quality of Tehran mega-city.

- Model development and optimized configuration

Several sensitivity analyses should be conducted in order to develop optimized configuration for chemical transport modeling over Tehran. Two points that should be investigated are analyses of different vertical turbulence parameterization schemes and algorithms accounting for building volumes within the canopy layer.

- Performing long term simulations

The episode investigated in this study represents a critical situation, which can occur during fall and winter in Tehran. Long term simulations would be very useful for investigating the interactions between the scale of the city and the mesoscale. Such simulations will allow us to better understand the dispersion of the primary pollutants
and the formation of secondary pollutants during different meteorological conditions throughout the year.

*Testing of emission reduction strategies*

Air quality modeling can be a very useful tool for evaluating emission scenarios in future years and prioritizing actions to mitigate pollution in Tehran. Various emissions scenarios can be implemented within Tehran, such as in the on-road vehicle fleet, the quality of the fuel, industrial sources, etc. Additionally, abatement strategies should be examined under different meteorological conditions in order to formulate definitive conclusions.